



Father Involvement Research Alliance

---

# The Effects of Father Involvement: An Updated Research Summary of the Evidence

---

Sarah Allen, PhD and  
Kerry Daly, PhD

*University of Guelph*

May 2007

***The Effects of Father Involvement:  
An Updated Research Summary of the Evidence Inventory***

© Centre for Families, Work & Well-Being, University of Guelph 2007

Although *The Effects of Father Involvement: An Updated Research Summary of the Evidence Inventory* is copyright protected, the authors and sponsors encourage readers to download the document from [www.fira.uoguelph.ca](http://www.fira.uoguelph.ca) or [www.worklifecanada.ca](http://www.worklifecanada.ca) and to photocopy or distribute with appropriate acknowledgement of the source, and would like to be informed about uses of this material in publications, conference, policy development or classroom.

This project was funded by the Public Health Agency of Canada and the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council CURA program.

To obtain additional copies of this report, please contact:

**FIRA-CURA**

**Centre for Families, Work & Well-Being**

**University of Guelph**

17 University Avenue East

Guelph, ON N1G 2W1

Tel: (519) 824-4120 ext 53829

Fax: (519) 823-1388

ISBN 0-88955-563-X  
978-0-88955-563-1

# Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
Cognitive Development.....	1
Emotional Development and Well Being.....	3
Social Development.....	4
Physical Health.....	5
Decrease in Negative Child Development Outcomes.....	7
Effects of Father Absence on Child Development Outcomes.....	8
Benefits of Father Involvement for Fathers.....	11
Co-Parental Relationship .....	13
The Importance of Spousal relations for Father Involvement.....	13
Mother’s Role in Father Involvement.....	14
The Co-Parental Relationship has indirect Effects on Child Development Outcomes.....	15
Non Residential Fathers.....	16
Payment of Child Support.....	16
Relationship with Mother and Child.....	17
Fathers & Work: Importance of Provider Roles .....	19
Effects of Family Income on Child Development Outcomes.....	19
Impact of Father Involvement on Work.....	20
Balancing Work and Family: Barriers and Pathways.....	21
Pathways.....	21
Barriers.....	21
Measure of Father Involvement.....	21
Father Involvement Measured as Time Spent Together.....	22
Father Involvement as the Quality of the Father-Child Relationship.....	22
Father Involvement Measured as Investment in Paternal Role.....	22
Multidimensional versus unidimensional conceptualizations.....	22
Measuring Father Involvement and its Impact: Challenges and Qualifications.....	23
Father involvement in the context of other influences.....	23
Measurement issues.....	24
Sampling issues and representatives.....	24
Social class issues.....	24
The importance of the parenting relationship for understanding father involvement.....	25
Direct and indirect effects.....	26
Assessing the impact of father presence and father absence.....	26
Employment conditions and father involvement.....	26

Summary..... 27

Bibliography..... 28

## INTRODUCTION

In the year 2002, we compiled the first summary of the research that examined the impact of father involvement on children's developmental outcomes, the co-parenting relationship, and development of fathers themselves. In 2007, we updated this review by examining approximately 150 new research studies in these areas. Although this does not include all of the research on fathering conducted during this period, it does provide an update of key published works on father involvement. In general, the research results reported in 2002 have been strengthened by additional research that we have examined in this period. One of the challenges of looking at the effects of father involvement is to disentangle father involvement from the effects of social class and family structure. We also include here new and emerging findings that provide deepening insight into the complex phenomenon of fathering.

This document presents an updated overview of the key trends in the father involvement literature. While we are unable to provide methodological detail in such a succinct summary, we endeavoured to compile as accurately as possible, reliable research results that support these trends. It is clear from the research that father involvement has enormous implications for men on their own path of adult development, for their wives and partners in the co-parenting relationship and, most importantly, for their children in terms of social, emotional, physical, and cognitive development.

In presenting the research evidence, we have used author citations in the text. If the bibliography is not included here, it can be accessed at [www.cfii.ca](http://www.cfii.ca) or [www.fira.uoguelph.ca](http://www.fira.uoguelph.ca). Furthermore, given the developments in the measurement of the father involvement construct itself, we have included a section at the end of this document on the different ways that father involvement has been measured in the research literature.

## COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT

Infants of highly involved fathers, as measured by amount of interaction, including higher levels of play and caregiving activities, are more cognitively competent at 6 months and score higher on the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (Pedersen, Rubinstein, & Yarrow, 1979; Pedersen, Anderson, & Kain, 1980). By one year they continue to have higher cognitive functioning (Nugent, 1991), are better problem solvers as toddlers (Easterbrooks & Goldberg, 1984), and have higher IQ's by age three (Yogman, Kindlan, & Earls, 1995). When compared with mothers, fathers' talk with toddlers is characterized by more wh- (e.g. "what", "where" etc.) questions, which requires children to assume more communicative responsibility in the interaction. This encouraged toddlers to talk more, use more diverse vocabulary, and produce longer utterances when interacting with their fathers (Rowe, Cocker, & Pan, 2004).

School aged children of involved fathers are also better academic achievers. They are more likely to get A's (National Center for Education Statistics, 1997; Nord & West, 2001), have better quantitative and verbal skills (Bing, 1963;

Goldstein, 1982; Radin, 1982), have higher grade point averages, get better achievement test scores, receive superior grades, perform a year above their expected age level on academic tests, obtain higher scores on reading achievement, or learn more and perform better in school (Astone & McLanahan, 1991; Blanchard & Biller, 1971; Cooksey & Fondell, 1996; Feldman & Wentzel, 1990; Gadsen & Ray, 2003; Goldstein, 1982; Gottfried, Gottfried, & Bathurst, 1988; Howard, Lefever, Borkowski, & Whitman, 2006; McBride et al., 2005; McBride, Schoppe-Sullivan, & 2005; National Center for Education Statistics, 1997; Shinn, 1978; Snarey 1993; Wentzel & Feldman, 1993). Children of involved fathers are also more likely to live in cognitively stimulating homes (William, 1997). A father's academic support was positively related to adolescent boys' academic motivation to try hard in school, feel their grades were important, and to place a high value on education (Alfaro, Umana-Taylor, & Bamaca, 2006). Father contact was also associated with better socioemotional and academic functioning in school related areas for children with single or married adolescent mothers (Howard et al., 2006).

Children of involved fathers are more likely to demonstrate more cognitive competence on standardized intellectual assessments (Lamb 1987; Radin 1994) and have higher IQ's (Gottfried et al., 1988; Honzik, 1967; Radin 1972; Shinn, 1978).

Children of involved fathers are more likely to enjoy school (National Center for Education Statistics, 1997), have positive attitudes toward school (Flouri, Buchanan, & Bream, 2002; Flouri, 2005), participate in extracurricular activities, and graduate. They are also less likely to fail a grade, have poor attendance, be suspended or expelled, or have behaviour problems at school, (Astone & McLanahan, 1991; Brown & Rife, 1991; Mosley & Thompson, 1995; National Center for Education Statistics, 1997; Nord & West, 2001; William, 1997). In addition, Zimmerman, Slaem, and Notaro (2000) found that positive paternal engagement in 10<sup>th</sup> grade predicted fewer problem behaviors in 11<sup>th</sup> grade.

Children of involved fathers are more likely to have higher levels of economic and educational achievement, career success, occupational competency, better educational outcomes, higher educational expectations, higher educational attainment, and psychological well being (Amato, 1994; Barber & Thomas, 1986; Barnett, Marshall, & Pleck, 1992a; Bell, 1969; Flouri, 2005; Furstenberg & Harris, 1993; Harris, Furstenberg, & Marmer, 1998; Lozoff, 1974; National Center for Education Statistics, 1997; Snarey, 1993). More recently, Flouri and Buchanan (2004) found that father and mother involvement at age 7 independently predicted educational attainment by age 20 for both sons and daughters indicating that early father involvement can be another protective factor in counteracting risk conditions that might lead to later low attainment levels.

**EMOTIONAL  
DEVELOPMENT  
AND  
WELL-BEING**

.....

Infants whose fathers are involved in their care are more likely to be securely attached to them, (Cox, Owen, Henderson, & Margand, 1992), be better able to handle strange situations, be more resilient in the face of stressful situations (Kotelchuck, 1976; Parke & Swain, 1975), be more curious and eager to explore the environment, relate more maturely to strangers, react more competently to complex and novel stimuli, and be more trusting in branching out in their explorations (Biller, 1993; Parke & Swain, 1975; Pruett, 1997).

Father involvement is positively correlated with children's overall life satisfaction and their experience of less depression (Dubowitz et al., 2001; Field, Lang, Yando, & Bendell, 1995; Formoso, Gonzales, Barrera, & Dumka, 2007; Furstenberg & Harris, 1993; Zimmerman, Salem, & Maton, 1995), less emotional distress (Harris et al., 1998), less expressions of negative emotionality such as fear and guilt (Easterbrooks & Goldberg, 1990), less conduct problems (Formoso et al., 2007), less psychological distress (Flouri, 2005), greater sense of social competence (Dubowitz et al., 2001), higher levels of self-reported happiness (Flouri, 2005), fewer anxiety symptoms, and lower neuroticism (Jorm, Dear, Rogers, & Christensen, 2003). In adoptive families, young adults' ratings of paternal nurturance and involvement were strongly and positively correlated with their reports of current psychosocial functioning (Schwartz & Finley, 2006). Likewise, paternal acceptance is significantly and positively related to youths' self-reported psychological adjustment (Veneziano, 2000).

Children of involved fathers are more likely to demonstrate a greater tolerance for stress and frustration (Mischel, Shoda, & Peake, 1988), have superior problem solving and adaptive skills (Biller, 1993), be more playful, resourceful, skilful, and attentive when presented with a problem (Mischel et al., 1988), and are better able to manage their emotions and impulses in an appropriate manner. Father involvement contributes significantly and independently to adolescent happiness (Flouri & Buchanan, 2003a). Harper and Fine (2006) found a positive relationship between paternal warmth and child well-being for non-residential father families. A close, non-conflictual stepfather-stepchild relationship improves adolescent well-being (Yuan & Hamilton, 2006) and close relationships with both stepfathers and non-resident fathers is associated with better adolescent outcomes in regards to grades, self-efficacy, internalizing and externalizing behaviours, and acting out in school (King, 2006).

Children of involved fathers are more likely to demonstrate a greater internal locus of control (Biller, 1993; Hoffman, 1971; Lamb, 1987; Mosley & Thompson, 1995; Radin, 1994; Ross & Broh, 2000; Williams & Radin, 1999), have a greater ability to take initiative, use self direction and control (Amato, 1989; Pruett, 1987), and display less impulsivity (Mischel, 1961). Daughters of involved fathers are more willing to try new things, keep busier, and are

happier (Mosely & Thompson, 1995). High father involvement was also associated with increased children's feelings of paternal acceptance, a factor that plays a role in the development of self-concept and esteem (Culp, Schadle, Robinson, & Culp, 2000). Consequently, children of involved fathers had higher self-esteem (Deutsch, Servis, & Payne, 2001; Ross & Broh, 2000).

Young adults who had nurturing and available fathers while growing up are more likely to score high on measures of self acceptance and personal and social adjustment (Fish & Biller, 1973), see themselves as dependable, trusting, practical, and friendly (Biller, 1993), be more likely to succeed in their work, and be mentally healthy (Heath & Heath, 1991). The variable that is most consistently associated with positive life outcomes for children is the quality of the father child relationship (Amato, 1998; Furstenberg & Harris, 1993; Lamb, 1997). Children are better off when their relationship with their father is secure, supportive, reciprocal, sensitive, close, nurturing, and warm (Biller, 1993; Easterbrooks & Goldberg, 1984; Lamb, 1986, 1997; Radin, 1981).

“Overall, father love appears to be as heavily implicated as mother love in offspring's' psychological well-being and health, as well as in an array of psychological and behavioural problems.” (Rohner & Veneziano, 2001)

#### **SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT**

Father involvement is positively correlated with children's overall social competence, social initiative, social maturity, and capacity for relatedness with others (Amato, 1987; Forehand & Nousiainen, 1993; Gottfried et al., 1988; Krampe & Fairweather, 1993; Mischel et al., 1988; Parke, 1996; Snarey, 1993; Stolz, Barber, & Olsen, 2005). This impact begins early in child development. For example, Kato, Ishii-Kuntz, Makino, and Tsuchiya (2002) found a direct influence of men's participation in childcare for children's pro social development among three year olds (Kato et al., 2002).

Children of involved fathers are more likely to have positive peer relations and be popular and well liked. Their peer relations are typified by less negativity, less aggression, less conflict, more reciprocity, more generosity, and more positive friendship qualities (Hooven, Gottman, & Katz, 1995; Lieberman, Doyle, & Markiewicz, 1999; Lindsey, Moffett, Clawson, & Mize, 1994; Macdonald & Parke, 1984; Rutherford & Mussen, 1968; Youngblade and Belsky, 1992). Adolescents who are securely attached to their fathers report less conflict in their interactions with peers (Ducharme, Doyle, & Markiewicz, 2002). Furthermore, fathers' levels of direct involvement are positively related to adolescents' friendship and peer experiences (Updegraff, McHale, Crouter, Kupanoff, 2001). Conversely, negative paternal affect such as high levels of hostility, had significant direct and indirect effects on adolescent negative social behaviour, which in turn predicted decreased peer acceptance (Paley, Conger, & Harold, 2000).



Children of involved fathers are more likely to have prosocial sibling interactions (Volling & Belsky, 1992), show fewer negative emotional reactions during play with peers, experience less tension in their interactions with other children, and solve conflicts by themselves rather than seeking the teacher's assistance (Suess, Grossman, & Sroufe, 1992).

Children who have involved fathers are more likely to grow up to be tolerant and understanding, (McClelland, Constantian, Regalado, & Stone, 1978), be well socialized and successful adults (Block & van der Lippe, 1973), have supportive social networks consisting of long-term close friendships (Franz, McClelland & Weinberger, 1991), and adjust well to college both personally and socially (Reuter & Biller, 1973). In addition, children who felt close to their involved fathers are also more likely to have long term, successful marriages (Franz et al., 1991; Lozoff, 1974), be satisfied with their romantic partners in midlife (Moller & Stattin, 2001), have more successful intimate relationships (Flouri & Buchanan, 2002b), and be less likely to divorce (Risch, Jodl, & Eccles, 2004). Likewise, young adults whose fathers were more sensitive in their early play interactions had more secure, healthy partnership representations of their current romantic relationship (Grossmann, Grossmann, Winter, & Zimmerman, 2002).

The strongest predictor of empathic concern in children and adults is high levels of paternal involvement while a child (Bernadette-Shapiro, Ehrensaft, & Shapiro, 1996; Koestner, Franz, & Weinberber, 1990; Lamb, 1987; Radin, 1994; Sears, Maccoby, & Levin, 1957).

Father warmth and nurturance significantly predicts children's moral maturity, is associated with more pro-social and positive moral behaviour in boys and girls (Mosely & Thompson, 1995), and is positively correlated with higher scores on measures of internal moral judgment, moral values, and conformity to rules (Hoffman 1971; Speicher-Dublin, 1982).

**PHYSICAL  
HEALTH**

Fathers can indirectly influence the physical health and well-being of their children through facilitating optimal health outcomes for mothers. When fathers are emotionally supportive of their spouses, wives are more likely to enjoy a greater sense of well being, good post partum mental health (Gjerdingen, Froberg, & Fontaine, 1991), have a relatively problem free pregnancy, delivery process, and nursing experience (Biller, 1993), and maintain or adopt healthy pregnancy behaviours (Teitler, 2001). Single mothers are twice as likely as married mothers to experience a bout of depression and experience higher levels of stress (Cairney, Boyle, Offord, & Racine, 2003) suggesting that fathers have a positive impact on mother's health and children's well-being.

Infant mortality rates are 1.8 times higher for infants of unmarried mothers than for married mothers (Matthews, Curtin, MacDorman, 2000) in part because unmarried mothers are less likely to obtain prenatal care, more likely to have a low birth weight baby (Padilla & Reichman, 2001; US Department of Health and Human Services, 1995), and more likely to report cigarette use during their pregnancy (McLanahan, 2003). Fathers can help increase the physical health of their children in various indirect ways. For example, 75% of women whose partners attended a breastfeeding promotion class initiated breastfeeding (Wolfberg et al., 2004). In addition, fathers who provide monetary support to non-married mothers during the pregnancy decrease the likelihood of a low birth weight baby (Padilla & Reichman, 2001).

When compared to children living with both biological parents, toddlers living in stepfamilies and single-parent families are more likely to suffer a burn, have a bad fall, or be scarred from an accident (O'Connor, Davies, Dunn, & Golding, 2000). In addition, children who live apart from their fathers are more likely to be diagnosed with asthma and experience an asthma-related emergency even after taking into account demographic and socioeconomic conditions. Unmarried, cohabiting parents and unmarried parents living apart are 1.76 and 2.61 times respectively more likely to have their child diagnosed with asthma. Marital disruption after birth is associated with a 6 fold increase in the likelihood a child will require an emergency room visit and 5 fold increase of an asthma related emergency (Harknett, 2005). Diabetic youth from single-mother headed households had poorer health even when statistically controlling for race, child's age, and family socioeconomic status (Thompson, Auslander, & White, 2001).

Obese children are more likely to live in father absent homes than are non-obese children (Struass & Knight, 1999). The obesity of the father is associated with a four-fold increase in the risk of obesity of sons and daughters at age 18 (Burke, Beilin, & Dunbar, 2001). In addition, a father's BMI (Body Mass Index) predicts sons' and daughters' BMI independent of offspring's alcohol intake, smoking, physical fitness, and father's education (Burke, Beilin, & Dunbar, 2001). The fathers, (not the mothers) total and percentage body fat was the best predictor of changes in daughter's total and percentage body fat (Figueroa-Colon, Arani, Goran, & Weinsier, 2000) as well as the father's diet and enjoyment of physical activity. As his BMI rose, so did his daughters (Davison & Birch, 2001). More active toddlers were more likely to have a father with a lower BMI than less active children (Finn, Johannsen & Specker, 2002). This finding echoes other research that found that fathers' inactivity was a strong predictor of children's inactivity (Troost, Kerr, Ward, & Pate, 2001; Fogelholm, Nuutinen, Pasanen, Myohanen, & Saatela, 1999).

Overall, children who live without their fathers are more likely to experience health related problems (Horn & Sylvester, 2002).

**DECREASE IN  
NEGATIVE  
CHILD  
DEVELOPMENT  
OUTCOMES**

Father involvement protects children from engaging in delinquent behaviour (Harris et al., 1998), and is associated with less substance abuse among adolescents (Coombs & Landsverk, 1988), less delinquency (Zimmerman et al., 1995), less drug use, truancy, and stealing (Barnes, 1984), and less drinking (Harris et al., 1998). For example, father involvement when the youth was in 10<sup>th</sup> grade was associated with less problem behavior (drug use, delinquency, violent behavior) the next year, especially if the father provided school support (Zimmerman et al., 2000).

The relationship between peer drug use and adolescent marijuana use is attenuated by both closeness to father and the perception that parents would catch them for major rule violations (Dorius, Bahr, Hoffmann, & Harmon, 2004). Having a close, positive father child relationship predicts a reduced risk of engagement in multiple, first time risky behaviours. In addition, when fathers have a positive relationship with their children, the negative effect of having a father with an authoritarian or permissive parenting style on increased risk of engaging in delinquent activity and substance use is reduced (Bronte-Tinkew, Moore, & Carrano, 2006).

Father involvement (as measured by frequency of contact and relationship quality) is also associated with a lower frequency of externalizing and internalizing symptoms such as acting out, disruptive behaviour, antisocial behaviour, depression, sadness and lying (Flouri & Buchanan, 2002a; King & Sobolewski, 2006; Mosley & Thompson, 1995). More recently, Flouri and Buchanan (2003b) found that father involvement (as measured by spending time together and relationship quality) at age 7 protected against psychological maladjustments in adolescents from non-intact families, and father involvement at age 16 protected against adult psychological distress among adult daughters in women. In addition, children's good relationships with their stepfathers are associated with significantly lower risk of internalizing or externalizing problems (White & Gilbreth, 2001). Good relationships with both step and non custodial father are also associated with better child outcomes (White & Gilbreth 2001).

A father's positive involvement (as measured by the amount and type of contact) is related to children having fewer behavioural problems (Amato & Rivera, 1999; Howard et al., 2006). For example, children who feel close to, and do things frequently with their fathers display less antisocial behavior and are less depressed and withdrawn (Peterson & Zill, 1986). Father involvement is also negatively associated with children's behavior problems, conduct disorder, and hyperactivity (Flouri, 2005). In addition, Flouri, (2005) found that father involvement was not only negatively associated with bullying behavior, but that it provided a buffering effect for children in that it protected them from extreme victimization. Likewise, Aldous and Mulligan (2002) found that a father's greater involvement in his "difficult to raise preschooler's life" was related to the child having fewer reported behaviour problems as a grade schooler. Their research further indicates that this benefit from early paternal

attention was also evidenced for nonproblematic young sons, but not daughters. Some research extends the benefits of father involvement for children in relationship to problem behaviours to fathers and mothers. For example, Piffner, McBurnett, and Rathouz (2001) found that families with residential fathers reported fewer antisocial symptoms for fathers, mothers, and children. In addition, Kosterman, Haggerty, Spoth, and Redmond (2004) found that prosocial experiences with fathers may play a key role in dissuading daughters from antisocial behaviour. Several risk factors to children's mental health problems in middle school that were associated with lower father involvement included having high stress reactivity (Boyce et al., 2006).

Adolescents who strongly identified with their fathers were 80% less likely to have been in jail and 75% less likely to become unwed parents (Furstenberg & Harris, 1993). Living in any type of married household also reduces the risk of early sexual activity and pregnancy (Moore & Chase-Lansdale, 2001). In addition, father involvement at age 7 was negatively related to socio-economic disadvantage at age 33; children were less likely to have experienced homelessness, received state benefits, or live in subsidised housing (Flouri, 2005).

**EFFECTS OF  
FATHER  
ABSENCE ON  
CHILD  
DEVELOPMENT  
OUTCOMES**

Children who live without their fathers, are, on average, more likely to have problems in school performance (Hetherington & Stanley-Hagan, 1997; Horn & Sylvester, 2002; Kelly, 2000). For example, they are more likely to have lower scores on achievement tests (McLanahan & Sandefur, 1994; Painter & Levine, 2000; Pong & Ju, 2000; Snarey, 1993; US Department of Health and Human Services, 1995), lower scores on intellectual ability and intelligence tests (Duncan, Brooks-Gunn, & Klebanov, 1994; Luster & McAdoo, 1994), have lower grade point averages, (McLanahan & Sandefur, 1994), be academic underachievers working below grade level (Blanchard & Biller, 1971), have lower academic performance (Kelly, 2000), have trouble solving complex mathematical and puzzle tasks, (Biller, 1981), or spend an average of 3.5 hours less per week studying (Zick & Allen, 1996).

Children who live without their fathers, are, on average, more likely to experience behavior problems at school (Hetherington & Stanley-Hagan, 1997; Horn & Sylvester, 2002) such as having difficulty paying attention, disobedience, (Mott, Kowaleski-Jones, & Mehaghan, 1997), being expelled, suspended (Dawson, 1991), or have poor school attendance. They are more likely to drop out of school (McLanahan & Sandefur, 1994; Painter & Levine, 2000), twice as likely to repeat a grade (Nord & West, 2001), less likely to graduate from highschool, more likely to complete fewer years of schooling, less likely to enroll in college (Krein & Beller, 1988; McLanahan & Sandefur, 1994; Painter & Levine, 2000), and more likely to be out of school and work or have poor labour attachments in their mid 20's (McLanahan & Sandefur, 1994).

Boys who live without their fathers consistently score lower on a variety of moral indexes - such as measures of internal moral judgement, guilt following transgressions, acceptance of blame, moral values and rule conformity (Hoffman, 1971). Girls who live without their fathers are more likely to cheat, lie, and not feel sorry after misbehaving (Parke, 1996; Mott et al., 1997). Both boys and girls are less likely to be able to delay gratification, have poor impulse control over anger and sexual gratification, and have a weaker sense of right and wrong (Hetherington & Martin, 1979).

Children in father absent homes are more likely to have problems in emotional and psychosocial adjustment and exhibit a variety of internalizing and externalizing behaviours (Hetherington & Stanley-Hagan, 1997; Horn & Sylvester, 2002; Kelly, 2000; Painter & Levine, 2000). Not living with both biological parents quadruples the risk of having an affective disorder (Cuffe, McKeown, Addy, & Garrison, 2005). Family structure affects conduct disorders and childhood aggression directly but the magnitude of the effect declines when tested with family processes and individual characteristics (Brannigan, Gemmell, Pevalin, & Wade, 2002). However, the heightened antisocial behavior in children associated with absent biological fathers was not mitigated by presence of stepfathers and was not accounted for by lower SES (Piffner, McBurnett, & Rathouz, 2001).

In father absent homes, boys, on average, are more likely to be more unhappy, sad, depressed, dependent, and hyperactive. Girls who grow up in father absent homes are, on average, are more likely to become overly dependent (Mott et al., 1997) and have internalizing problems such as anxiety and depression (Kandel, Rosenbaum, & Chen, 1994). For example, African American daughters perceptions of anger and alienation from fathers was related to greater emotional and behavioural problems for adolescents (Coley, 2003). In addition, a combination of low father contact and high levels of either anger or trust in the daughter-father relationship was related to particularly deleterious psychosocial outcomes for adolescent girls (Coley, 2003). Both boys and girls are more likely to develop disruptive or anxiety disorders (Kasen, Cohen, Brook, & Hartmark, 1996), have conduct problems (Kandel et al., 1994), suffer from psychological disorders, or commit suicide (Brent, Perper, Moritz, & Liotus, 1995). Adolescents whose mothers divorced and remained single, those born outside marriage and their mother remained unmarried had the greatest behavioural problems when compared with their counterparts with married biological parents (Carlson, 2006). However, father involvement partially mediates the effects of family structure on adolescent behavioural outcomes in that it reduced both the size and the significance of nearly all the statistically significant family structure effects on adolescent behaviour suggesting that father involvement is a critical factor in predicting adolescent behavioural outcomes (Carlson, 2006).

Children who live without their fathers are, on average, more likely to choose deviant peers, have trouble getting along with other children, be at higher risk for peer problems (Mott et al., 1997), and be more aggressive (Horn & Sylvester, 2002).

Children who live without their fathers are, on average, are at greater risk of being physically abused, of being harmed by physical neglect, or of suffering from emotional neglect (Sedlak & Broadhurst, 1996).

Adolescents in single-mother or single-father families are significantly more delinquent than their counterparts residing with two biological, married parents, although these differences are reduced once various family processes such as supervision, monitoring, involvement and closeness are accounted for (Demuth & Brown, 2004). Nonetheless, children who live without their fathers are more likely to engage in criminal behavior (Horn & Sylvester, 2002), or commit a school crime - possessing, using, or distributing alcohol or drugs, possessing a weapon, or assaulting a teacher, administrator, or another student (Jenkins, 1995), are at higher risk of status, property, and personal delinquencies (Anderson, 2002; Bush, Mullis, & Mullis, 2000), or score higher on delinquency and aggression tests (Griffin, Bptvin, Scheier, Diaz, & Miller, 2000). In addition, Mackey and Immerman (2004) found that father absence, rather than poverty, was the stronger predictor of young men's violent behavior. Adolescents in father absent homes face elevated incarceration risks (Harper & McLanahan, 2004). Absence of paternal influence was a particularly important risk factor for daughters' criminality although mothers and fathers appeared to play a similar role in controlling their sons' antisocial behavior (Kempainen, Jokelainen, Isohanni, Jaervelin, & Raesaenen 2002).

Children who live with a single parent or in stepfamilies are more likely to use and abuse illegal drugs, alcohol, or tobacco compared to children who live with both biological or adoptive parents (Bronte-Tinkew, Moore, Capps, & Zaff, 2006; Johnson, Haffmann, & Gerstein, 1996; Kelly, 2000; Painter & Levine, 2000) and report higher rates of drinking and smoking (Griffin, Botvin, Scheier, Diaz, & Miller, 2000). Mandara and Murray (2006) found that father absent boys were much more likely than father present boys or either group of girls to use drugs. Father closeness was negatively correlated with the number of a child's friends who smoke, drink, and smoke marijuana. Father closeness was also correlated with a child's use of alcohol, cigarettes, and hard drugs and was connected to family structure with intact families ranking higher on father closeness than single-parent families (National Fatherhood Initiative, 2004).

Adolescents who live without their father are more likely to engage in greater and earlier sexual activity, are more likely to become pregnant as a teenager (Ellis et al., 2003; McLanahan & Sandefur, 1994; Miller & Moore, 1990; Metzler, Noell, Biglan, & Ary, 1994; US Department of Health and Human Services, 1988), or have a child outside of marriage (Painter & Levine, 2000). This elevated risk was not explained by familial, ecological, or personal disadvantages associated with father absence and there was stronger and more consistent evidence of the effects of father absence on early sexual activity and teenage pregnancy than on other behavioural or mental health problems or academic achievement (Ellis et al., 2003). More specifically, women whose parents separated between birth and six years old experienced twice the risk of early menstruation, more than four times the risk of early sexual intercourse, and two and a half times higher risk of early pregnancy when compared to women in intact families (Quinlan, 2003). Other research indicates similar trends. Teens without fathers were twice as likely to be involved in early sexual activity and seven times more likely to get pregnant as an adolescent (Ellis et al., 2003).

Likewise, early fatherhood, both during the teen years and early twenties, is much more likely to occur if young men did not grow up living with their own fathers. Young fathers were also less likely to be living with their children if their own fathers had not lived in residence with them throughout childhood (Furstenberg & Weiss, 2001). Overall, research indicates that being raised by a single mother raises the risk of teen pregnancy, marrying with less than a high school degree, and forming a marriage where both partners have less than a high school degree (Teachman, 2004).

Children who live without their fathers are, on average, more likely to be poor (Horn & Sylvester, 2002) with the US Bureau of the Census (2003) reporting that children in father absent homes are five times more likely to be poor.

Overall, father absence has deleterious effects on a wide range of child development outcomes including health, social and emotional, and cognitive outcomes (Wertheimer, Croan, Moore, & Hair, 2003).

**BENEFITS OF  
FATHER  
INVOLVEMENT  
FOR FATHERS**

Men who are involved fathers feel more self confident and effective as parents, (DeLuccie, 1996; Russell, 1982), find parenthood more satisfying (Owen, Chase-Lansdale & Lamb, 1982), feel more intrinsically important to their child (Lamb, 1987) and feel encouraged to be even more involved (DeLuccie, 1996).

Spending time taking care of children provides fathers with opportunities to display affection and to nurture their children (Almeida & Galambos, 1991; Coltrane, 1996; Lamb, 1997). Involved fathers are more likely to see their interactions with their children positively (DeLuccie, 1996), be more attentive to their children's development (Lamb, 1987), better understand, and be more accepting of their children (Almeida & Galambos, 1991; Russell, 1982), and

enjoy closer, richer father-child relationships, (Gronseth, 1975; Lamb, 1987; Lamb, Pleck, & Levine, 1987; Owen et al., 1982; Snarey, 1993). When fathers spend more time with their children they are more likely to engage in supportive interactions, regardless of negative mood (Almeida, Wethington, & McDonald, 2001).

Fathers who are involved in their children's lives are more likely to exhibit greater psychosocial maturity (Pleck, 1997; Snarey, 1993), be more satisfied with their lives (Eggebean & Knoester, 2001), feel less psychological distress (Barnett, Marshall, & Pleck, 1992b; Gove & Mongione, 1983; Ozer, Barnett, Brennan, & Sperling, 1998), and be more able to understand themselves, empathically understand others, and integrate their feelings in an ongoing way (Heath, 1994).

Involved fathers report fewer accidental and premature deaths, less than average contact with the law, less substance abuse, fewer hospital admissions, and a greater sense of well being overall (Pleck, 1997).

Involved fathers are more likely to participate in the community (Heath, 1978, 1994; Heath & Heath, 1991; Eggebean & Knoester, 2001; Townsend 2002; Wilcox, 2002), do more socializing (Eggebean & Knoester, 2001), serve in civic or community leaderships positions (Snarey, 1993), and attend church more often (Chaves, 1991; Eggebean & Knoester, 2001; Ploch & Hastings, 1998; Stolzenberg, Blair-Loy, & Waite, 1995). Fatherhood encourages men to increase intergenerational and extended family interactions and participate in service-oriented activities and hours in paid labour (Knoester & Eggebean, 2006). In addition, Lerman and Sorensen (2000) found a positive relationship between increased involvement of fathers and additional hours of work and increased earnings.

Some evidence suggests that involved fathering is correlated with marital stability (Cowan & Cowan, 1992) and is associated with marital satisfaction in midlife (Heath & Heath, 1991; Snarey, 1993). Involved fathers are more likely to feel happily married ten or twenty years after the birth of their first child (Snarey, 1993), and be more connected to their family (Eggebean & Knoester, 2001).

Overall, men who are involved fathers during early adulthood usually turn out to be good spouses, workers, and citizens at midlife (Snarey, 1993; Townsend, 2002). Despite some of the documented short term costs of father involvement for men such as stress, increased work- family conflict, and decreased self esteem, these costs do not appear to reduce overall satisfaction with parenthood (Pleck & Masciadrelli, 2004). Long term, high involvement has a modest, positive impact on occupational mobility, work success, career success and the father's societal generativity (Hawkins, Christiansen, Sargent, & Hill, 1993; Pleck & Masciadrelli, 2004; Snarey 1993).



In fact, men's emotional involvement with their children has been found to act as a buffer against work related stresses (Barnett et al., 1992b).

## CO-PARENTAL RELATIONSHIP

The quality of the co-parental relationship has both direct implications for how involved fathers are, and indirect implications for child development outcomes. As a result, marriage becomes an important context within which to promote and sustain father involvement. This next section explores three dimensions of the co-parental relationship: 1) the importance of marriage for father involvement, 2) the mother's role in father involvement, and 3) how the quality of the co-parental relationship indirectly affects child development outcomes.

### **The Importance of Spousal Relations for Father Involvement**

---

There is a positive correlation between marital quality and the following: levels of father involvement in child care responsibilities (Bouchard & Lee, 2000; Harris & Morgan, 1991; McBride & Mills, 1993), the quality of the father-child relationship (Belsky & Volling, 1987; Cox, Owen, Lewis, & Henderson, 1989; Doherty, Kouneski, & Erickson, 1998; Feldman, Nash, & Aschenbrenner, 1983; Levy-Schiff & Israelaschivili, 1988), the father's satisfaction in his own paternal role, and his competence as a parent (Bouchard & Lee, 2000).

These correlations indicate that the marital relationship is an important context for the quality of men's experiences as a father (Bouchard & Lee, 2000). Men are more likely to understand their role of being a father and a husband as a "package deal" - one contingent upon the other (Townsend, 2002). Therefore, if marital conflict is high, fathers have a much more difficult time being involved with their children, which weakens the father-child relationship (Coiro & Emery, 1998; Doherty et al., 1998).

For example, interparental conflict was negatively related to quality fathering for single earner Mexican American families (Formoso et al., 2007). Conversely, a strong parenting alliance was positively related to quality fathering. Thus, strengthening the interparental relationship can support quality fathering (Formoso et al., 2007).

The importance of the marital context considered, recent research indicates that for biological fathers regardless of their fathering status (residential, nonresidential, residential boyfriend, non-resident boyfriends, or non-resident friend), the fathers who had at least a romantic relationship with the mother were more involved with their children across types of involvement than those in no relationship, marital or otherwise (Cabrera et al., 2004).

Some research indicates that increased father involvement can have positive consequences for the marriage. For example, Snarey (1993) found that fathers who were involved in their children's lives were significantly more likely to enjoy a stable marriage at midlife. (Father involvement accounted for 25% of the variance in the father's midlife marital success.) Other researchers have found a similar relationship between competent fathering behaviours and

increased marital satisfaction and marital stability in later life (Belsky, 1984; Cowan & Cowan, 1992; Feldman, et al., 1983; Heath & Heath, 1991). Thus, overall, there is more evidence that paternal involvement has positive consequences for marriage than negative consequences (Pleck & Masciadrelli, 2004).

### **Mother's Role in Father Involvement**

---

When mothers are supportive of their spouse's parenting (view them as competent parents, provide encouragement, expect and believe parenting is a joint venture), men are more likely to be involved with, and responsible for their children (Biller, 1993; Coverman, 1985; Cowan & Cowan, 1987; DeLuccie, 1995, 1996; Doherty et al., 1998; McBride & Rane, 1998; Pasley, Futris & Skinner, 2002; Simons, Whitbek, Conger, & Melby, 1990), feel recognized as a parental figure (Jordan, 1990), place a greater importance on their father role identity (Pasley et al., 2002), and feel more satisfaction, pleasure, competence, and comfort in their paternal role (Biller, 1993; Bouchard & Lee, 2000; Coffman, Levitt, & Brown 1994; Cowan & Cowan, 1987; DeLuccie, 1996).

Thus, fathers' perceived investments in their parental roles and actual levels of paternal involvement are moderated by mothers' beliefs about the role of the father (McBride et al., 2005). Research indicates that fathers who perceive their wives as evaluating them positively as fathers were more likely to report higher levels of involvement in child-related activities and place greater importance on the father role identity which was, in turn, associated with higher levels of involvement (Pasely et al., 2002). More recently research indicates that a mother's positive relationship with both the father and his family was found to predict a greater likelihood of initiated and sustained high father involvement. Conversely, a decreasing pattern of father involvement was significantly associated with increased maternal parenting stress over time (Kalil, Ziol-Guest, Coley, 2005).

Mothers can serve as gatekeepers (Allen & Hawkins, 1999) to the father-child relationship. Many women are ambivalent about greater father involvement for a variety of reasons including concerns about their husband's competence as a caregiver, feared loss of control over a domain in which they exercised significant power, and an unwillingness to change their standards for housework and childcare. The father's level of involvement in the child's life is therefore, partly determined by the extent to which mothers permit participation (Allen & Hawkins, 1999; DeLuccie, 1995; Dickie & Carnahan, 1980; Seery & Crowley, 2000). For example, Fagan and Barnett (2003) found that maternal gatekeeping was significantly, and negatively associated with the amount of father involvement. In addition, father competence was indirectly and directly linked to the amounts of maternal gatekeeping and father involvement with children with gatekeeping mediating the relationship between father competence and involvement.

## **The Co-Parental Relationship has Indirect Effects on Child Development Outcomes**

---

The co-parental relationship indirectly affects the parent-child relationship. For example, when fathers are supportive and encouraging, mothers are more competent parents. Mothers in families in which father involvement is high may have a more positive outlook regarding their child's behavior than do mothers in families in which father involvement is low (Culp et al., 2000). When fathers are supportive and encouraging, mothers are more patient, flexible, emotionally responsive, sensitive, and available to their infants and young children (Belsky, 1981; Cowan & Cowan, 1987; Feiring & Lewis, 1978; Parke & Anderson, 1987; Snarey, 1993). This tends to enhance the quality of the mother-child relationship and thus facilitates positive developmental outcomes for their children (Lamb 1997), such as being popular with peers (Boyum & Parke, 1995), increased self-control and academic competence (Brody et al., 1994), and positive relationships with peers and intimate partners (Amato, 1998). High levels of supportive co-parenting were also associated with fewer externalizing behavior problems in preschoolers (Schoppe, Manglesdorf, & Frosch, 2001).

The effect of a supportive co-parental relationship seems to work for fathers as well. Therefore, support from wives can improve the quality of the father's parenting (Amato, 1998; Conger & Elder, 1994), which in turn has positive child development outcomes. Recent research found that co-operative co-parenting predicts more frequent father-child contact, which in turn predicts higher relationship quality and more responsive fathering (Sobolewski & King, 2005). In addition, frequent conversations between husbands and wives were found to be a significant indirect factor affecting children's sociability (Kato et al., 2002).

When the co-parental relationship is not supportive, children suffer. For example, husbands who show little warmth or are abusive towards their wives, have wives who are more likely to feel emotionally drained, irritable, and distracted. This increases the likelihood that they will employ non-effective parenting strategies (such as harsher and less consistent discipline) when interacting with their children and respond to them in an impatient, non-nurturing manner (Amato, 1998).

Less happy marriages and marital arguments when children were young were associated with children's behavior problems when they were older (Aldous & Mulligan, 2002). Harper and Fine (2006) found similar results when they found a negative relationship between inter-parent conflict and child well being (Harper & Fine, 2006). And Lundy (2002) reported that marital dissatisfaction adversely affected paternal synchrony which negatively impacted the security of the infant-father attachment.

Research consistently documents a negative association between marital discord and children development outcomes such as academic success, behavioural conduct, emotional adjustment, self esteem, and social competence (Amato, 1998; Cummings & O'Reilly, 1997; Davies & Cummings, 1994; Emery, 1988; Grych & Fincham, 1990; Kandel, 1990). Happily married parents interact more positively with their infant (Levy-Schiff, 1994), preschool child (Lindahl, Clements, & Markman, 1997), and school age child (Simons, Beaman, Conger, & Chao, 1993).

A positive co-parental relationship models many important relationship skills that children can use in their own relationships such as: providing emotional support, resolving conflict effectively, showing respect, and positive, open communication patterns (Amato, 1998)

**NON-  
RESIDENTIAL  
FATHERS**

**Payment of Child Support**

---

The amount of child support paid by non-resident fathers is positively and significantly associated with children's well being, leading scholars to argue that a dollar of child support has a larger benefit to children than does a dollar of family income (Aughinbaugh, 2001). For example, the amount of child support received increased the educational attainment and cognitive test scores of children and adolescents more than income from any other source (Argys, Peters, Brooks-Gunn, & Smith, 1998; Beller & Chung, 1988; Knox, 1996).

The amount of child support paid impacts a wide variety of child development outcomes, such as improved cognitive test scores, higher reading, verbal, and math ability (Argys et al., 1998; King, 1994), better educational achievement, success, and competence - including higher grades and attained education level (Amato & Gilbreth, 1999; Graham, Beller, & Hernandez, 1994; King, 1994; Knox & Bane, 1994; Marsiglio, Amato, Day, & Lamb, 2000; McLanahan, Seltzer, Hanson, & Thompson, 1994), higher levels of social and emotional adjustment, fewer behavioural problems, better ratings on the personal maturity scale, preschooler's school readiness, and increased probability that youths will graduate from highschool and go onto college (Green & Moore, 2000; Menning, 2002). In fact, Graham, Beller, and Hernandez (1994) found that child support helps children overcome about two thirds of the disadvantage in years of school completed and reduces highschool drop out rates as well as the percentage of students who fall behind their age cohorts in high school. In addition, child support payments improve children's access to educational resources, increase the amount of stimulation in the home and improve children's health and nutrition (Graham et al., 1994; Knox & Bane, 1994).

Overall, strong evidence exists for the positive role of non-resident fathers' payment of child support for children's outcomes (Graham & Beller, 2002) as these economic contributions improve children's standards of living, health,

educational attainment, and general well-being (Seltzer, 1994). Interestingly, some research has been able to document a circular effect of child support in that measures of child achievement in reading and math can have, in turn, positive impacts on the receipt and amount of child support, suggesting that noncustodial parents appear to consider how well their former partners care for their children when they make child support decisions (Aughinbaugh, 2001).

Payment of child support is negatively and significantly related to reports of children's behavioural problems (Furstenberg, Morgan, & Allison, 1987; McLanahan et al., 1994) and children's externalizing problems (Amato & Gilbreth, 1999). It is, however, positively associated with positive behavioural adjustment and adaptation after divorce (King, 1994; Lamb, Sternberg, & Thompson, 1997).

The positive correlation between payment of child support and desirable child development outcomes continues to exist after controlling for influences of maternal income, interparental conflict, and frequency of contact (Amato, 1998). In some cases, research has found that the frequency of contact, or time spent doing activities with non-residential fathers has no significant effects on child development outcomes unless it is also combined with financial support (Menning, 2002). Other research indicates that greater contact with the father in the form of child visitation tends to be associated with more child support being paid (Seltzer, 1991). Thus, overall, monetary and material contributions from the father, especially contributions provided informally, are positively associated with more positive child well-being outcomes (Dunn, 2004; Dunn, Cheng, O'Connor, & Bridges, 2004; Greene & Moore, 2000).

### **Relationship with Mother and Child**

---

Research consistently indicates that the most crucial mediating variable for child development outcomes for children with non-residential fathers is the quality (not quantity) of the father's relationship with the mother and the child (Amato, 1998; Kelly, 2000; Marsiglio et al., 2000). Other variables, such as the father's frequency of contact with their child and their child's feelings about their fathers have proven to be inconsistent predictors of child development outcomes or adjustment (Amato, 1998; Marsiglio et al., 2000). The quality of the child father relationship is related to the quality of the mother-non-resident father relations and the mother child relationship (Dunn et al., 2004). Thus, positive child-non-resident father relationships are correlated with 1) contact between child and father (amount and regularity), 2) the quality of the mother-child relationship, and 3) the frequency of contact between the mother and her former partner (Dunn et al., 2004).

Divorced fathers who visit their children often were more likely to have a supportive relationship with their former spouses (Whiteside & Becker, 2000).

In addition, fathers' perceptions of parenting support received from the former spouse contribute to fathers' levels of coparental interaction after divorce (Madden-Derdich & Leonard, 2000). Likewise, mother's attitudes have been found to strongly determine the effectiveness of post-divorce father involvement (Kelly, 2000).

Cooperative co-parenting between parents who live apart predicts more frequent father-child contact, which in turn predicts higher relationship quality, more responsive fathering, and stronger ties between non-resident fathers and their children (Sobolewski & King, 2005). Non-resident father involvement is related positively to mother's involvement and negatively to interparental conflict (Flouri, 2006) which is negatively related to child well being (Harper & Fine, 2006)

Following divorce, children consistently do better in many domains of child development when they are able to maintain meaningful relationships with both parents unless the levels of interparental conflict remain unusually high (Amato, 1993; Emery, 1982; Guildubaldi & Perry, 1985; Heath & MacKinnon, 1988; Hess & Camara, 1979; Hetherington, Cox, & Cox, 1982, 1985; Kurdek, 1986; Lamb, 1997; Wallerstein & Kelly, 1980).

Positive child developmental outcomes for children are associated with the quality of the non-residential father's parenting and how they interact with the child. For example, non-residential fathers who engage in authoritative parenting (setting and enforcing rules, monitoring, supervising, helping with homework, provide advice and emotional support, providing consistent discipline, praising children's accomplishments) are less likely to have adolescents who experience symptoms of depression or various externalizing problems (Barber, 1994; Furstenberg & Cherlin, 1991; Simons, Whitbek, Beaman, & Conger, 1994). Likewise, higher non-resident father involvement predicts decreases in adolescent delinquency, particularly for youth with initial engagement in delinquent activities (Coley & Medieros, 2007), lower probabilities of school failure among adolescents (Menning, 2006), fewer externalizing and internalizing problems for adolescents, less acting out at school among adolescents (King & Sobolewski, 2006; Whiteside & Becker, 2000), lower probabilities of school failure among adolescents (Menning, 2006), less emotional distress (Stewart, 2003), and overall better academic outcomes (Kelly, 2000).

These positive results and higher levels of child well-being are often qualified by not only the condition of non resident father involvement, but increases in that involvement over time, presence of paternal warmth, authoritative parenting, high relationship quality, feelings of closeness, frequent visitation, and responsive fathering (Dunn et al., 2004; Harper & Fine, 2006; Menning, 2006). However, other research has found that non resident father involvement and frequency of contact did not improve the regression models predicting children's total difficulties or pro-social behavior although frequency

of contact was negatively associated with child's emotional symptoms (Flouri, 2006). Likewise, Stewart (2003) found that participating in leisure activities with non-resident fathers did not influence children's well being. Extrinsic support (going out to dinner, buying things, and seeing movies together) and frequency of contact do not consistently contribute in a positive way to child development (Marsiglio et al., 2000; Young, Miller, Norton, & Hill, 1995) because they do not facilitate authoritative parenting. These results, therefore, provide mixed evidence about the degree to which children benefit from non-resident father involvement (Stewart, 2003).

Overall, non-residential fathers have the potential to contribute to their child's development by 1) paying child support, 2) developing a collaborative and cooperative relationship with the child's mother, and 3) investing in an authoritative parental role. This leads Whiteside and Becker (2000) to state that the quality of the parental alliance and the parents' warmth, sensitivity, good adjustment, and discipline style make the difference between a well-adjusted child post-divorce and one who is angry, scared, or limited in cognitive and social skills.

#### FATHERS & WORK:

#### IMPORTANCE OF THE PROVIDER ROLE

Economic support of the family is an indirect, but important way, fathers can contribute to their child's development. Christiansen & Palkovitz (2001) argue that economic provision for child and family needs is the foundation on which many fathers build their involvement in family life and that it is integrated and connected with many other forms of father involvement. Specifically, fathers who do not provide economically for their families are more likely to disengage from involvement in many other aspects of their children's lives than fathers who do provide economically (Christensen & Palkovitz, 2001).

Snarey (1993) found that when compared with men who are not fathers, fathers exhibit a greater attachment to the labour force and career out of a sense of responsibility to provide for their children. Other research indicates a similar trend. Fatherhood encourages men to be more serious about their work productivity but not to "over commit" to their jobs and careers (Coltrane, 1995; Eggebean & Knoester, 2001; Gutmann, 1994).

#### EFFECTS OF FAMILY INCOME ON CHILD DEVELOPMENT OUTCOMES

***Note: The effects of income on child development outcomes are mostly due to the father's income since fathers continue to contribute, on average more than 50% of the family income. In married, two parent families father contribute on average about two thirds of the family income (Amato & Booth, 1997).***

Research consistently documents that poverty has many detrimental effects on child development outcomes, putting them at greater risk of poor nutrition and health problems (Klerman, 1991), low school grades, dropping out of school (Levin, 1986), emotional and behavioural problems such as depression, low self-esteem, conduct disorders, and conflict with peers (Brooks-Gunn, Britto, & Brady, 1999; Duncan & Brooks-Gunn, 1997; Klerman,

1991; Marsiglio et al., 2000; Mayer, 1997; McLoyd, 1989; McLoyd & Wilson, 1991). In Mexican-American families, fathers' income was negatively associated with depressive symptoms in mothers and adolescent children in highly acculturated families (Crouter, Davis, Updegraff, Delgado, & Fortner, 2006).

In contrast, fathers earnings are positively associated with the educational attainment (Hill & Duncan, 1987; Kaplan, Lancaster, & Anderson, 1998; Yeung, Duncan & Hill, 2000), psychological well being, (Amato, 1998), and earned income (Behrman & Taubman, 1985) of young adult sons and daughters even when mother's earnings are controlled.

Paternal education is positively associated with children's grade point averages (Alwin & Thornton, 1984; DiMaggio, 1982) cognitive and achievement test scores (Alwin & Thornton, 1984; Amato, 1987, Blau & Grossberg, 1992; Mercy & Steelman, 1982; Parcel & Menaghan, 1994; Steelman & Dolby, 1983) school attendance (Brown & Rife, 1991), and occupational status and earnings (Amato, 1998; Jencks, Crouse, & Mueser 1983; Kerkhoff, Cambell & Trott, 1982; Kiker & Condon, 1981; Sewell, Hauser, & Wolf, 1980).

Overall, men who are involved fathers during early adulthood usually turn out to be good spouses, workers, and citizens at midlife (Snarey, 1993).

**IMPACT OF  
FATHER  
INVOLVEMENT  
ON WORK**

Despite some of the documented short term costs of father involvement for men such as stress, increased work-family conflict, and decreased self esteem, long term, high involvement has a modest, positive impact on occupational mobility, work success, and societal generativity (Snarey, 1993; Hawkins, Christiansen, Sargent, & Hill, 1993).

Men's emotional involvement with their children was found to act as a buffer against work related stresses (Barnett et al., 1992b) and Lerman and Soreneson (2000) found a positive relationship between increased involvement of fathers and their subsequent hours of work and earning. In addition, Westermeyer's research (1998) found that career success did not come at the expense of marriages or community service.

Research indicates that men who occupy many roles such as husbands, employees, and fathers reported higher well-being and lower distress (Barnett, 1997), and are more mentally healthy (Westermeyer, 1998)



**BALANCING WORK AND FAMILY: BARRIERS AND PATHWAYS** **Pathways**

---

Several forms of work organizational supports have been identified as necessary to facilitate an optimal work/family balance for fathers. They include: family responsibility leave, supportive supervisors and co-workers, work time flexibility, work-place flexibility (Lee & Duxbury, 1998), and flexible implementation of corporate policies at the local level (Palkovitz, Christensen, & Dunn, 1998).

Flex-time and pro-family corporate practices are associated with more father involvement (Pleck, 1997).

**Barriers**

---

Workplace barriers such as longer work hours are ranked by fathers as the most important reason for low levels of paternal involvement and source of stress in balancing work and family life (Haas, 1992; Milkie & Peltola, 1999; Yankelovich, 1974).

Men are more likely to work more hours, and less likely to take advantage of flexible work arrangements or parental leave (Robinson & Godbey, 1997).

Fathers who work long hours are more likely to feel overloaded, be less accepting of their adolescent children, and be less effective in perspective taking. The combination of long working hours and role overload predicted this relationship quality (Crouter, Bumpas, Head, & McHale, 2001). In addition, parents working non-standard schedules reported worse family functioning, more depressive symptoms, and less effective parenting. Their children were also more likely to have social and emotional difficulties and these relationships were partially mediated through family relationships and parent well-being (Strazdins, Clements, Korda, Broom, & D'Souza, 2006). Likewise, the mismatch between employed parents' work schedules and their children's school schedules creates parental after school stress, which is related to the psychological well being of the parent. (Barnett & Gareis, 2006).

Although innovative discussions exist on the limitations of past father involvement definitions, constructs, and measures, this discussion will highlight briefly some of the main ways father involvement has been measured, with an emphasis on the ways father involvement has been measured in the majority of the research cited in this document.

**MEASURES OF FATHER INVOLVEMENT**

---

The most dominant measures of father involvement include the use of time diaries, correlational studies that demonstrate the salience of father presence by studying families without fathers, and variations of Lamb, Pleck, Charnov, & Levine's (1985) constructs of engagement, accessibility, and responsibility.

A content analysis of the research cited in this document reveals that father involvement was typically measured in one, or a combination, of the following three ways.

### **Father Involvement Measured as Time Spent Together**

---

This includes frequency of contact, amount of time spent together (doing things such as shared meals, shared leisure time, or time spent reading together), and the perceived accessibility and availability of the father. This can also include the amount of time fathers spend performing routine physical child care such as bathing, preparing meals, and clothing in addition to the amount of time father's spend playing with their child, and how effective, mutual and reciprocal the play is.

### **Father Involvement Measured as the Quality of the Father-Child Relationship**

---

A father is defined as an involved father if his relationship with his child can be described as being sensitive, warm, close, friendly, supportive, intimate, nurturing, affectionate, encouraging, comforting, and accepting. In addition, fathers are classified as being involved if their child has developed a strong, secure attachment to them. In this regard, Pleck (1997) has argued that it is more appropriate to refer to the benefits of "positive father involvement" (p. 67) than "father involvement" *per se*.

### **Father Involvement Measured as Investment in Paternal Role**

---

Measures assess the level of investment in child rearing, including the father's ability to be an authoritative parent (exercises appropriate control and limits while allowing autonomy; takes responsibility for limit setting and discipline; monitors child's activities), the degree to which he is facilitative and attentive to his child's needs, and the amount of support he provides his children with school related activities.

### **Multidimensional Versus Unidimensional Conceptualizations**

---

Throughout this document, we have made reference to the relationship between father involvement and various outcomes. Implicit in this approach is that "father involvement" is a unidimensional construct. Direct engagement, as articulated by Lamb et al. (1987) has tended to dominate assessments of father involvement. Considerable work has been carried out to elaborate the complicated nature of father involvement leading to arguments about the importance of considering father involvement as a "multidimensional" construct (Schoppe-Sullivan, McBride & Ringo Ho, 2004). Palkovitz (1997) broadened the conceptualization with reference to 15 categories of paternal involvement that included: Communication (listening, talking, showing love); Teaching (role modeling, encouraging activities and interests); Monitoring (friends, homework); Cognitive processes (worrying, planning, praying);

Errands; Caregiving, (feeding, bathing); Shared interests (reading together); Availability; Planning (activities, birthdays); Shared activities (shopping, playing together); Providing (food, clothing); Affection; Protection; and Supporting emotionality (encouraging the child). Furthermore, as Parke (2000) has argued, the nature of father involvement changes over time as a function of children being at various stages of development and fathers themselves undergoing various developmental challenges over time. Whereas the focus has often been on how fathers make the transition to fathering, Palkovitz and Palm (2005) argue that there are many transitions over the life course within the fathering experience that have an impact on child and family outcomes. Furthermore, given the diversity of the fathering experience in relation to ethnicity (Parke, 2000), culture (Hewlett, 2000) and a host of other factors including sexual orientation, family structure and social class, it is important to look at variability both within and between these groups.

**MEASURING  
FATHER  
INVOLVEMENT  
AND ITS  
IMPACT:**

**CHALLENGES  
AND  
QUALIFICATIONS**

.....

Efforts to construct a pragmatic yet valid and reliable measure of father involvement have had to face the challenge of balancing the need to capture the complex dimensions of father involvement with the practical issue of having a format that is not so long and cumbersome as to be prohibitive for participants (Schoppe-Sullivan et al., 2004). This measurement challenge continues to be a work in progress with noteworthy efforts including: a nine factor model that assesses direct and indirect effects, as well as behavioral, cognitive, affective, moral and ethical aspects of involvement (Hawkins, Bradford, Palkovitz, Christiansen, Day & Call, 2002); and a six factor model that includes responsibility, love/physical affection, talking with the child, household activities, child activities and cognitive monitoring (Schoppe-Sullivan et al., 2004). Nevertheless, significant measurement challenges lie ahead in the effort to capture the multidimensional nature of father involvement (Day & Lamb, 2004).

In light of some of these complexities, qualitative approaches that examine the construction of the fathering experience have used grounded theory methodology approaches (Daly, 2002; Matta & Knudson-Martin, 2006); in-depth interviewing (Marsiglio & Cohan, 2000; Marsiglio, 2004); and narrative approaches (Dollahite, 2004; Pleck & Stueve, 2004).

**Father involvement in the context of other influences**

---

A father's activities, thoughts and engagements with a child occur within a complex environment of other influences. The quality and nature of the interactions that children have with teachers, neighbours, peers, extended family, siblings and other parents can all shape the developmental outcomes of the child. From a broader perspective, wars, family access to resources, and other cultural and economic conditions can play powerful roles in shaping children's well-being (Hewlett, 2000). Accordingly, it is important that when looking at the "evidence" provided in this document that we be mindful of the

wide range of influences on child development. Palkovitz (2002) provides the following caution:

*“Because development is multiply determined, it is somewhat hazardous to get too specific regarding relationships between patterns of paternal involvement and child development outcomes. In focusing on child outcomes we often ignore the fact that patterns of father involvement are only one factor in a large and diverse array of possible contributors to developmental outcomes. The existing database does not allow us to conclusively partial out the effects of of father involvement on child outcome variables.”*

### **Measurement issues**

---

From a methodological point of view, there are other limitations in how measurements are made when assessing the relationship between father involvement and child outcomes. One significant limitation is that most researchers rely on a single data source which raises the problem of the same informant who provided the data about paternal involvement also providing information about child outcomes. Another measurement limitation in the fathering literature is that most studies are correlational and often cross sectional. This makes inferring the direction of causality problematic, and impossible to account for selection effects or pre-existing conditions inherent in the child that may be impacting child development outcomes (Pleck & Masciadrelli, 2004).

### **Sampling issues and representativeness**

---

Another limitation of the father involvement literature is that most of the research is based on US population samples, of which African American samples represent a significant proportion of the father absence literature. The adverse child developmental outcomes reportedly due to father absence may, in part reflect the disadvantaged, disenfranchised, and de-privileged experience of systemic and institutionalized racism rather than the impact of father absence. For example, Harper and McLanahan (2004) found that a sizeable portion of the risk that appeared to be due to father absence could actually be attributed to other factors such as teen motherhood, low parent education, racial inequalities, and poverty.

### **Social class issues**

---

Other scholars have also challenged the impact of father involvement after controlling for SES. Kesner and McKenry (2001) argue that after controlling for SES, there would be no differences between children from single and two parent homes on measures of social skills and conflict management, which would suggest that single parent family structure is not by itself a risk factor for children’s social development (Kesner & McKenry, 2001). Likewise, Battle (2002) found that in the presence of socioeconomic status, family

configuration was not statistically significant in predicting educational achievement. In the case of educational achievement, other scholars found that father presence had no effect on post secondary educational attainment after controlling for high school curriculum placement and high school grades (List & Wolfe, 2000). In addition, research indicates that a father's child rearing practices have a smaller effect on children than poverty or race (Mosely & Thompson, 1995; Pleck, 1997). In a similar vein, Averett, Gennetian, and Peters (1997) found that levels of father care were less influential on cognitive development than the child care centers their children were placed in.

### **The importance of the parenting relationship for understanding father involvement**

---

When assessing the impact of father involvement on child outcomes, researchers often neglect to control for the quality of the mother child relationship which could account for a portion of the observable effects. For example, Amato (1994) observed that the extent of mothers' child care and the quality of the marriage may have accounted for the supposed positive effect of father's child care.

In a review of the empirical research that examines the relationship between father love (acceptance-rejection) and child outcomes, Rohner & Veneziano (2001) identify six different categories of empirical studies that illustrate the complex systemic dynamics of father involvement, mother involvement and child development outcomes. Three of these categories of literature indicate that father love and involvement makes a unique and significant contribution to child development outcomes after statistically controlling for the mothers' influence; while the other categories of study come to the conclusion that father love operates independently of mother love and involvement; that father involvement moderates the influence of mother involvement; and that mother and father involvement have different outcomes related to sons and daughters. Several conclusions can be drawn from this: there is strong evidence to support the conclusion that certain types of father involvement independently contribute to children's developmental outcomes (there is also evidence that certain kinds of mother involvement contributes to unique outcomes); at the same time, depending on the nature of the parenting relationship, there are interactive effects that involve contributions from both mothers and fathers; and finally, there are a number of different outcomes for sons and daughters that warrant further research and analysis. The conclusion for Rohner & Veneziano (2001) is that we may be better off studying father involvement from a triadic (mother –father –child) or systemic perspective rather than focusing on the dyadic relationship (father –child).

## **Direct and indirect effect**

---

Although much of the focus in this document and in the literature is on the direct effects of father involvement on child development outcomes, it is apparent that fathers are an important source of indirect effects on children's developmental outcomes. Specifically, when fathers function as a source of practical and emotional support for mothers, they enhance the quality of the mother-child relationship thereby facilitating healthy developmental outcomes for children (Lamb, 2000). In addition, fathers indirectly influence their children through their own accumulation of social capital, access to privilege, income, and social networks.

## **Assessing the impact of father presence and father absence**

---

The salutary effects of being raised by two married biological parents depends on the quality of care parents can provide (Jaffee, Moffitt, Caspi, & Taylor, 2003). For example, Jaffee et al. (2003) found that when fathers engaged in high levels of antisocial behavior, the more time they lived with their children, the more conduct problems their children had, indicating that more father involvement is not always optimal in all cases. It is also important to understand the reasons for low or high levels of paternal involvement. For example, a father may be highly involved in his child's life because he is unemployed, but would rather be able to lower his levels of father involvement in order to better provide for his child financially. In addition, it is important to move discussions beyond explorations of family structure or father absence, as recent research suggests that family context, family process, patterns of interaction, and the quality of various relationships tend to have more explanatory power (Davis & Friel, 2001). Recent research suggests that the impact of father absence flows through the following paths: 1) no co-parent, 2) economic loss / disadvantage, 3) social isolation and disapproval 4) perceived / actual abandonment relating to psychological distress, 5) conflict between parents (Cabrera, Tamis-LeMonda, Bradley, Hofferth, & Lamb, 2000). These may be the mechanisms (not the presence or absence of a father) that create deleterious effects on children. In addition, no agreement that psychological and social well-being are needs obtained by a parent of a specific gender. Previous research maintains that a child's needs can be met with or without father involvement (Walker & McGraw, 2000). All things considered, further research is needed to more clearly understand the mechanisms by which father involvement influences child development outcomes.

## **Employment conditions and father involvement**

---

There are a number of employment factors that influence the degree to which fathers are involved with their children. Lamb (2000) reports that in two parent families where mothers are employed, fathers are more involved with their children when compared with families with non-employed mothers. When mothers earn more money than fathers, then the fathers are more likely to

spend more time in the care of their children (Casper & O'Connell, 1998). When parents work non-standard work schedules, fathers are also more likely to be involved in the provision of care to children (Presser, 1995). Conversely, fathers who have higher incomes are more likely to spend less time with their children than low income fathers but the time that they do spend is more positive (Levy-Schiff & Israelaschivili, 1988). When fathers are required to take on fathering responsibilities due to unemployment, there is a tendency that they parent more harshly and children may suffer as a result (Russell, 1983 cited in Cabrera et al., 2000).

## **SUMMARY**

Overall, several limitations exist with how the construct of father involvement is conceptualized and measured and the ways its impact has been understood and assessed that present a variety of challenges and qualifications to the research findings. Clearly it is important to consider measurement, sampling, and social class issues, to be attentive to direct and indirect effects, to consider the multidimensionality of father involvement within the context of other influences and relationships, to explore the impact of structural parameters on involvement such as employment conditions, and to find better ways of understanding father presence than by exploring its opposite - father absence. Further research and theory can continue to build the father involvement literature by being attentive to these limitations.

# Bibliography

- Aldous, J., & Mulligan, G. M. (2002). Fathers' child care and children's behavior problems: A longitudinal study. Journal of Family Issues, *23* (5), 624 - 647.
- Alfaro, E. C., Umana-Taylor, A. J., & Bamaca, M. Y. (2006). The influence of academic support on Latino adolescents' academic motivation. Family Relations, *55* (3), 279-291.
- Allen, S. M., & Hawkins, A. J. (1999). Maternal gatekeeping: Mothers' beliefs and behaviors that inhibit greater father involvement in family work. Journal of Marriage and the Family, *61* (1), 199-212.
- Almeida, D. M., & Galambos, N. L. (1991). Examining father involvement and the quality of father-adolescent relations. Journal of Research on Adolescence, *1*, 155-172.
- Almeida, D. M., Wethington, E., & McDonald, D. A. (2001). Daily variation in paternal engagement and negative mood: Implications of emotionally supportive and conflictual interactions. Journal of Marriage and Family, *63*, 417-429.
- Alwin, D. F., & Thornton, A. (1984). Family origins and the schooling process: Early versus late influence of parental characteristics. American Sociological Review, *49*, 784-802.
- Amato, P. R. (1987). Children in Australian families: The growth of competence. New York: Prentice-Hall.
- Amato, P. R. (1989). Family processes and the competence of primary school children and adolescents. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, *18*, 39-53.
- Amato, P. R. (1993). Children's adjustment to divorce: Theories, hypotheses, and empirical support. Journal of Marriage and the Family, *55*, 23-38.
- Amato, P. R. (1994). Father-child relationships, mother-child relations, and offspring psychological well-being in early adulthood. Journal of Marriage and the Family, *56*, 1031-1042.
- Amato, P. R. (1998). More than money? Men's contributions to their children's lives. In A. Booth & A. Crouter (Eds.), Men in families: When do they get involved? What difference does it make? (pp. 241-178). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Amato, P. R., & Booth, A. (1997). A generation at risk: Growing up in an era of family upheaval. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Amato, P. R., & Gilbreth, J. G. (1999). Nonresident fathers and children's well being: A meta-analysis. Journal of Marriage and the Family, *61*, 557-573.



- Amato, P. R., & Rivera, F. (1999). Paternal involvement and children's behavior problems. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 61 (2), 375 – 384.
- Anderson, A. L. (2002). Individual and contextual influences on delinquency: The role of the single-parent family. Journal of Criminal Justice, 30 (6), 575-587.
- Argys, L. M., Peters, H. E., Brooks-Gunn, J., & Smith, J. R. (1998). The impact of child support on cognitive outcomes of young children. Demography, 35 (2), 159-173.
- Astone, N. M., & McLanahan, S. S. (1991). Family structure, parental practices, and high school completion. American Sociological Review, 56, 309-320.
- Aughinbaugh, A. (2001). Signals of child achievement as determinants of child support. AEA papers and Proceedings, 91 (2), 140 – 144.
- Averett, S. L., Gennetian, L. A., & Peters, E. H. (1997), October). Paternal child care and children's cognitive development. Paper presented at Conference on Father Involvement, Fatherhood Initiative, Bethesda, MD.
- Barber, B. K., & Thomas, D. L. (1986). Dimensions of fathers' and mothers' supportive behavior: The case for physical affection. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 48, 783-794.
- Barber, B. L. (1994). Support and advice from married and divorced fathers: Linkages to adolescent adjustment. Family Relations, 43, 433-438.
- Barnes, G. M. (1984). Adolescent alcohol abuse and other problem behaviors: Their relationships and common parental influences. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 13, 329-348.
- Barnett, R. (1997). Gender, employment and psychological well-being: Historical and life course perspectives. In M. E. Lachman & J. B. James (Eds.), Multiple paths of midlife development (pp. 323-344). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Barnett, R. C., & Gareis, K. C. (2006). Parental after-school stress and psychological well-being. Journal of Marriage and Family, 68, 101-108.
- Barnett, R. C., Marshall, N. L., & Pleck, J. H. (1992a). Adult son-parent relationships and their associations with son's psychological distress. Journal of Family Issues, 13, 505-525.
- Barnett, R. C., Marshall, N. L., & Pleck, J. H. (1992b). Men's multiple roles and their relationship to men's psychological distress. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 54, 358-367.
- Battle, J. (2002). Longitudinal analysis of academic achievement among a nationwide sample of Hispanic students in one- versus dual-parent households. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 24 (4), 430-447.

- Behrman, J., & Taubman, P. (1985). Intergenerational earnings mobility in the United States: Some estimates and a test of Becker's intergenerational endowment model. Review of Economics and Statistics, 67, 144-151.
- Bell, A. (1969). Role modeling of fathers in adolescence and young adulthood. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 16, 30-35.
- Beller, A. H., & Chung, S. S. (1988). The impact of child-support on the educational attainment of children. Population Index, 54, 438.
- Belsky, J. (1981). Early human experience: A family perspective. Developmental Psychology, 17, 3-17.
- Belsky, J. (1984). The determinants of parenting: A process model. Child Development, 55, 83-96.
- Belsky, J., & Volling, B. L. (1987). Mothering, fathering, and marital interaction in the family triad during infancy. In P. W. Berman & F. A. Pedersen (Eds.), Men's transitions to parenthood: Longitudinal studies of early family experience (pp. 37-63). Hillsdale, NH: Erlbaum.
- Bernadette-Shapiro, S., Ehrensaft, D., & Shapiro, J. L. (1996). Father participation in childcare and the development of empathy in sons: An empirical study. Family Therapy, 23(2), 77-93.
- Biller H. B. (1981). Father absence, divorce, and personality development. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), The role of the father in child development (1st ed., pp. 489-552). New York: Wiley.
- Biller, H. B. (1993). Fathers and families: Paternal factors in child development. Westport, CT: Auburn House.
- Bing, E. (1963). The effect of child rearing practices on the development of cognitive abilities. Child Development, 34, 631-648.
- Blanchard R. W., & Biller, H. B. (1971). Father availability and academic performance among third grade boys. Developmental Psychology, 4, 301-305.
- Blau, F. D., & Grossberg, A. J., (1992). Maternal labor supply and children's cognitive development. Review of Economic and Statistics, 74, 474-481.
- Block, J. & van der Lippe, A. (1973). Sex role and socialization: Some personality concomitants and environmental antecedents. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 41, 321-341.
- Bouchard, G., & Lee, C. M. (2000). The marital context for father involvement with their preschool children: The role of partner support. Journal of Prevention & Intervention in the Community, 20 (1/2), 37-53.
- Boyce, T. W., Essex, M. J., Alkon, A., Goldsmith, H H., Kraimer, H. C., & Kupfer, D. J., (2006). Early father involvement moderates biobehavioral susceptibility to mental health problems in middle

childhood. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 45 (12), 1510-1521.

Boyum, L. A., & Parke, R. D. (1995). The role of family emotional expressiveness in the development of children's social competence. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 57, 593-608.

Brannigan, A., Gemmell, W., Pevalin, D. J., & Wade, T. J. (2002). Self-control and social control in childhood misconduct and aggression: The role of family structure, hyperactivity, and hostile parenting. Canadian Journal of Criminology, April, 119-142.

Brent, D. A., Perper, J. A., Moritz, G., & Liotus, L. (1995). Post-traumatic stress disorder in peers of adolescent suicide victims: Predisposing factors and phenomenology. Journal of the Academic Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 34 (2), 209-215.

Brody, G., Stoneman, Z., Flor, D., McCrary, C., Hastings, L., & Conyers, O. (1994). Financial resources, parental psychological functioning, parent co-caregiving, and early adolescent competence in rural two parent African-American families. Child Development, 65, 590-605.

Bronte-Tinkew, J., Moore, K. A., Capps, R. C., & Zaff, J. (2006). The influence of father involvement on youth risk behaviors among adolescents' A comparison of native born and immigrant families. Social Science Research, 35, 181-209.

Bronte-Tinkew, J., Moore, K. A., & Carrano, J. (2006). The father-child relationship, parenting styles, and adolescent risk behaviors in intact families. Journal of Family Issues, 27 (6), 850 – 881.

Brooks-Gunn, J. Britto, P. R., & Brady, C. (1999). Struggling to make ends meet: Poverty and child development. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), Parenting and child development in "nontraditional" families (pp.279-304). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Brown, C. S., & Rife, J. C. (1991). Social , personality, and gender differences in at-risk and not-at-risk sixth grade students. Journal of Early Adolescence, 11, 482-495.

Burke, V., Beilin, L. J., & Dunbar, D. (2001). Family lifestyle and parental body mass index as predictors of body mass index in Australian children: A longitudinal study. Journal of Obesity, 25 (2), 147-157.

Bush, C. A., Mullis, R. L., & Mullis, A. K. (2000). Differences in empathy between offender and nonoffender youth. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 29 (4), 467-478.

Cabrera, N. J., Shannon, J. D., Vogel, C, Tamis-LeMonda, C, Ryan, R. M., Brooks-Gunn, J. Raikes, H., & Cohen, R. (2004). Low-income fathers' involvement in their toddlers' lives: Biological fathers form the early head start research and evaluation study. Fathering: A journal of theory, research, & practice about men as fathers, 2 (1), 5-26.

Cabrera, N., Tamis-Lemonda, C., Bradley, R., Hofferth, S., & Lamb, M. (2000). Fatherhood in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. Child Development, 71, 127-136.

- Cairney, J., Boyle, M., Offord, D. R., & Racine, Y. (2003). Stress, social support and depression in single and married mothers. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, *38*, 442-449.
- Carlson, M. J. (2006). Family structure, father involvement, and adolescent behavioral outcomes. Journal of Marriage and Family, *68*, 137-154.
- Casper, L.M., & O'Connell, M. (1998). Work, income, the economy and married fathers as childcare providers. Demography, *35*, 243-250.
- Chaves, M. (1991). Family structure and protestant church attendance: The sociological basis of cohort and age-effects. Journal of the Scientific Study of Religion, *30*, 501-514.
- Christiansen, S. L., & Palkovitz, R. (2001). Why the "good provider" role still matters: Providing as a form of paternal involvement. Journal of Family Issues, *22* (1), 84-106.
- Coffman, S., Levitt, M. J., & Brown, L. (1994). Effects of clarification of support expectations in prenatal couples. Nursing Research, *42* (2), 111-116.
- Coley, R. L. (2003). Daughter-father relationships and adolescent psychosocial functioning in low-income African American families. Journal of Marriage and Family, *65*, 867-875.
- Coley, R. L., & Medeiros, B. L. (2007). Reciprocal longitudinal relations between nonresident father involvement and adolescent delinquency. Child Development, *78* (1), 132-147.
- Coiro, M. J., & Emery, R. E. (1998). Do marriage problems affect fathering more than mothering? A quantitative and qualitative review. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review, *1* (1), 23-40.
- Coltrane, S. (1995). The future of fatherhood: Social demographic and economic influences on men's family involvements. In W. Marsiglio (Ed.), Fatherhood: Contemporary theory, research, and social policy (pp. 255-274). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Coltrane, S. (1996). Family man. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Conger, R. D., & Elder, G. H., Jr. (1994). Families in troubled times. New York: de Gruyter.
- Cooksey, E. C., & Fondell, M. M. (1996). Spending time with his kids: Effects of family structure on fathers' and children's lives. Journal of Marriage and the Family, *58*, 693-707. August.
- Coombs, R. H., & Landsverk, J. (1988). Parenting styles and substance abuse during childhood and adolescence. Journal of Marriage and the Family, *50*, 473-482.
- Coverman, S. (1985). Explaining husbands' participation in domestic labor. Sociological Quarterly, *26*, 81-97.
- Cowan, C. P., & Cowan, P. A. (1987). Men's involvement in parenthood: Identifying the antecedents and understanding the barriers. In P. Bernman & F. Pedersen (Eds.), Men's transitions to parenthood (pp.145-174). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Cowan, C. P., & Cowan, P. A. (1992). When partners become parents. New York: Basic.
- Cox, M. J., Owen, M. T., Henderson, V. K., & Margand, N. (1992). The prediction of infant-father and infant-mother attachment. Developmental Psychology, 28, 474-483.
- Cox, M. J., Owen, M. T., Lewis, J. M., & Henderson, V. K. (1989). Marriage, adult adjustment, and early parenting. Child Development, 60, 1015-1024.
- Crouter, A. C., Bumpass, M., Head, M., & McHale, S. (2001). Implications of overwork and overload for the quality of men's relationships. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 63, 404-416.
- Crouter, A. C., Davis, K. D., Updegraff, K., Delgado, M., & Fortner, M. (2006). Mexican American fathers' occupational conditions: Links to family members' psychological adjustment. Journal of Marriage and Family, 68, 843-858.
- Cuffe, S. P., McKeown, R. E., Addy, C. L., & Garrison, C. Z. (2005). Family psychosocial risk factors in a longitudinal epidemiological study of adolescents. Journal of American Academic Child Adolescent Psychiatry, 44, 121-129.
- Culp, R. E., Schadle, S., Robinson, L., & Culp, A. M. (2000). Relationships among paternal involvement and young children's perceived self-competence and behavioral problems. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 9 (1), 27-38.
- Cummings, E. M., & O'Reilly, A. W. (1997). Fathers in family context: Effects of marital quality on child adjustment. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), The role of the father in child development (3rd ed., pp. 49-65). New York: Wiley.
- Daly, K. J. (2002). Time, gender and the negotiation of family schedules. Symbolic Interaction, 25, 323-342.
- Davies, P. T., & Cummings, E. M. (1994). Marital conflict and child adjustment: An emotional security hypothesis. Psychological Bulletin, 116, 387-411.
- Davis, E. C., & Friel, L. V. (2001). Adolescent sexuality: Disentangling the effects of family structure and family context. Journal of Marriage and Family, 63, 669-681.
- Davison, K. K., & Birch, L. L. (2001). Child and parent characteristics as predictors of change in girls' body mass index. International Journal of Obesity, 25 (12), 1834 – 1842.
- Dawson, D. A. (1991). Family structure and children's well-being: Data from the 1988 national health interview survey. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 53 (3), 573-585.
- Day, R., & Lamb, M. (2004). Conceptualizing and measuring father involvement. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- DeLuccie, M. F. (1995). Mothers as gatekeepers: A model of maternal mediators of father involvement. The Journal of Genetic Psychology, 156, 115-131.

- DeLuccie, M. F. (1996a). Predictors of paternal involvement and satisfaction Psychological Reports, 79, 1351-1359.
- DeLuccie, M. F. (1996b). Mothers: Influential agents in father-child relations. Genetic, Social and General Psychology Monographs, 122 (3), 285-307.
- Deutsch, F. M., Servis, L. J., & Payne, J. D. (2001). Paternal participation in child care and its effects on children's self-esteem and attitudes toward gendered roles. Journal of Family Issues, 22, 1000-1024.
- Demuth, S., & Brown, S. L. (2004). Family structure, family process, and adolescent delinquency: The significance of parental absence versus parental gender. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 41 (1), 58-81.
- Dickie, J. R., & Carnahan, G. S. (1980). Training in social competence: The effects on mothers, fathers and infants. Child Development, 51, 1248-1251.
- DiMaggio, P. (1982). Cultural capital and school success: The impact of status culture participation on the grades of U. S. high school students. American Sociological Review, 47, 189-201.
- Doherty, W. J., Kouneski, E. F., & Erickson, M. F. (1998). Responsible fathering: An overview and conceptual framework. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 60, 277-292.
- Dollahite, D. (2004). A narrative approach to exploring responsible involvement of fathers with their special needs children. In R. Day & M. Lamb (Eds.), Conceptualizing and measuring father involvement, p. 109-128. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Dorius, C. J., Bahr, S. J., Hoffmann, J. P., & Harmon, E. L. (2004). Parenting practices as moderators of the relationship between peers and adolescent marijuana use. Journal of Marriage and Family, 66, 163-178.
- Dubowitz, H., Black, M. M., Cox, C. E., Kerr, M. A., Litrownik, A. J., Radhakrishna, A., English, D. J., Wood Schneider, M., & Runyan, D. K., (2001). Father involvement and children's functioning at age 6 years: A multisite study. Child Maltreatment, 6, 300-309.
- Ducharme, J. Doyle, A. B., & Markiewicz, D. (2002). Attachment security with mother and father: Association with adolescents' reports of interpersonal behavior with parents and peers. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 19, 203-231.
- Duncan, G. J., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (1997). Consequences of growing up poor. New York: Russell Sage Foundation Press.
- Duncan, G. L., Brooks-Gunn, J., & Klebanov, P. K. (1994). Economic deprivation and early childhood development. Child Development, 65, 296-318.
- Dunn, J. (2004). Annotation: Children's relationships with their non-resident fathers. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 45, 553-566.

- Dunn, J., Cheng, H., O'Connor, T. G., & Bridges, L. (2004). Children's perspectives on their relationships with their non-resident fathers: Influences, outcomes, and implications. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, *45*, 553-566.
- Easterbrooks, M. A., & Goldberg, W. A. (1984) Toddler development in the family: Impact of father involvement and parenting characteristics. Child Development, *53*, 740-752.
- Easterbrooks, M. A., & Goldberg, W. A. (1990). Security of toddler-parent attachment: Relation to children's sociopersonality functioning during kindergarten. In M. T. Greenberg, D. Cicchetti, & E. M. Cummings (Eds.), Attachment in the preschool years: Theory, research and intervention (pp. 221-244). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- EGgebean, D. J., & Knoester, C. (2001). Does fatherhood matter for men? Journal of Marriage and the Family, *63*, 381-393.
- Ellis, B. J., Bates, J. E., Dodge, K. A., Fergusson, D. M., Horwood, J., Pettit, G. S., & Woodward, L. (2003). Does father absence place daughters at special risk for early sexual activity and teenage pregnancy? Child Development, *74* (3), 801-821.
- Emery, R. E. (1982). Interparental conflict and the children of discord and divorce. Psychological Bulletin, *92*, 310-330.
- Emery, R. E. (1988). Marriage, divorce, and children's adjustment. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Fagan, J., & Barnett, M. (2003). The relationship between maternal gatekeeping, paternal competence, mothers' attitudes about the father role, and father involvement. Journal of Family Issues, *24* (8), 1020-1043.
- Feiring, C., & Lewis, M. (1978). The child as a member of the family system. Behavioral Science, *23*, 225-233.
- Feldman, S. S., Nash, S. C., & Aschenbrenner, B. G. (1983). Antecedents of fathering. Child Development, *54*, 1628-1636.
- Feldman, S. S., & Wentzel, K. R. (1990). Relations among family interaction patterns, classroom self-restraint and academic achievement in preadolescent boys. Journal of Educational Psychology, *82*, 813-819.
- Field, T., Lang, C., Yando, R., & Bendell, R. (1995). Adolescents' intimacy with parents and friends. Adolescence, *30* (117), 133-140.
- Figueroa-Colon, R. Arani, R.B, Goran, M. I., & Weinsier, R. L. (2000). Paternal body fat is a longitudinal predictor of changes in body fat in premenarcheal girls. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, *71* (3), 829-834.

- Finn, K., Johannsen, N., & Specker, B. (2002). Factors associated with physical activity in preschool children. The Journal of Pediatrics, *140*, 81-85.
- Fish, K. D., & Biller, H. B. (1973). Perceived childhood paternal relationships and college females' personal adjustment. Adolescence, *8*, 415-420.
- Flouri, E., & Buchanan, A. (2002a). Life satisfaction in teenage boys: The moderating role of father involvement and bullying. Aggressive Behavior, *28*, 126-133.
- Flouri, E., & Buchanan, A. (2002b). What predicts good relationships with parents in adolescence and partners in adult life: Findings from the 1958 British birth cohort. Journal of Family Psychology, *16*, 186-198.
- Flouri, E., Buchanan, A., & Bream, V. (2002). Adolescents' perceptions of their fathers' involvement: Significance to school attitudes. Psychology in the Schools, *39*(5), 575-582.
- Flouri, E., & Buchanan, A. (2003a). The role of father involvement and mother involvement in adolescents' psychological well-being. British Journal of Social Work, *33*(3), 399-406.
- Flouri, E., & Buchanan, A. (2003b). The role of father involvement in children's later mental health. Journal of Adolescence, *26*(1), 63-78.
- Flouri, E., & Buchanan, A. (2004). Early father's and mother's involvement and child's later educational outcomes. British Journal of Educational Psychology, *74* (2), 141-153.
- Flouri, E. (2005). *Fathering and child outcomes*. West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Flouri, E. (2006). Non-resident fathers' relationships with their secondary school age children: Determinants and children's mental health outcomes. Journal of Adolescence, *29* (4), 525-538.
- Fogelholm, M., Nuutinen, O., Pasanen, M. Myohanen, E., & Saatela, T. (1999). Parent-child relationship of physical activity patterns and obesity. International Journal of Obesity, *23* (12), 1262 – 1268.
- Forehand, R., & Nousiainen, S. (1993). Maternal and paternal parenting: Critical dimensions in adolescent functioning. Journal of Family Psychology, *7*, 213-221.
- Formoso, D., Gonzales, N. A., Barrera, M., & Dumka, L. E. (2007). Interparental relations, maternal employment, and fathering in Mexican American families. Journal of Marriage and Family, *69*, 26-39.
- Franz, C. E., McClelland, D. C., & Weinberger, J. (1991). Childhood antecedents of conventional social accomplishments in midlife adults: A 35-year prospective study. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, *60*, 586-595.
- Furstenberg, F. F., & Cherlin, A. J. (1991). Divided families. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.



- Furstenberg, F. F., & Harris, K. M. (1993). When and why fathers matter: Impacts of father involvement on the children of adolescent mothers. In R. I. Lerman & T. J. Ooms (Eds.), Young unwed fathers: Changing roles and emerging policies (pp. 117-138). Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Furstenberg, F. F., Morgan, S. P., & Allison, P. D. (1987). Paternal participation and children's well-being after marital dissolution. American Sociological Review, *52*, 695-701.
- Furstenberg, F. F., & Weiss, C. C. (2001). Intergenerational transmission of fathering roles in at risk families. Marriage and Family Review, *29* (2-3), 181 – 201.
- Gadsden, V., & Ray, A. (2003). Fathers' role in children's academic achievement and early literacy. ERIC Digest.
- Gjerdingen, D. K., Froberg, D. G., & Fontaine, P. (1991). The effects of social support on women's health during pregnancy, labor, and delivery, and the postpartum period. Family Medicine, *23*, 370-375.
- Goldstein, H. S. (1982). Fathers absence and cognitive development of 12-17 year olds. Psychological Reports, *51*, 843-848.
- Gottfried, A. E., Gottfried, A. W., & Bathurst, K. (1988). Maternal employment, family environment, and children's development: Infancy through the school years. In A. E. Gottfried & A. W. Gottfried (Eds.), Maternal employment and children's development: Longitudinal research (pp. 11-58). New York: Plenum.
- Gove, W. R., & Mongione, T. W. (1983). Social roles, sex roles and psychological distress: Additive and interactive models of sex differences. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, *24*, 300-312.
- Graham, J. W., Beller, A. H., & Hernandez, P. M. (1994). The effects of child support on educational attainment. In I. Garfinkel, S. S. McLanahan, & P. K. Robins (Eds.), Child support and child well-being (pp. 317-354). Washington, DC: Urban Institute.
- Graham J. W., & Beller, A. H. (2002). Nonresident fathers and their children: Child support and visitation from an economic perspective. In C. S. Tamis-LeMonda & N. Cabrera (Eds.), Handbook of father involvement: Multidisciplinary perspectives (pp. 431 – 453). Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Greene, A. D., & Moore, K. A. (2000). Nonresident father involvement and child well-being among young children in families on welfare. Marriage & Family Review, *29* (2/3), 159-180.
- Griffin, K. W., Bptvin, G. J., Scheier, L. M. Diaz, T., & Miller, N. L. (2000). Parenting practices as predictors of substance use, delinquency, and aggression among urban minority youth: Moderating effects of family structure and gender. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, *14* (2), 174-184.

- Gronseth, E. (1975, July). Work-sharing families: Adaptions of pioneering families with husband and wife in part-time employment. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Society for the Study of Behavioral Development, Surrey, England.
- Grossmann, K. E., Grossman, K., Winter, M., & Zimmerman, P. (2002). Attachment relationships and appraisal of partnership: From early experience of sensitive support to later relationship representation. In L. Pulkkinen & A. Caspi (Eds.), Paths to successful development: Personality in the life course (pp. 73-105). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Grych, J. H., & Fincham, F. D. (1990). Marital conflict and children's adjustment: A cognitive-conceptual framework, Psychological Bulletin, 108, 267-290.
- Guildubaldi, J., & Perry, J. D. (1985). Divorce and mental health sequelae for children: A two-year follow-up of a nationwide sample. Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry, 24, 531-537.
- Gutmann, D. L. (1994). Reclaimed powers: Toward a new psychology of men and women in later life (2nd ed.). Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press.
- Haas, L. (1992). Equal parenthood and social policy. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Harknett, K. (2005). Children's elevated risk of asthma in unmarried families. Underlying structural and behavioral mechanisms. Working Paper #2005-01-FF. Princeton, NJ: Center for Research on Child Well-being. 19-27.
- Harper, C. C., & McLanahan, S. S. (2004). Father absence and youth incarceration, Journal of Research on Adolescence, 14 (3), 369-397.
- Harper, S. E., & Fine, M. A. (2006). The effects of involved nonresidential fathers' distress, parenting behaviors, inter-parental conflict, and the quality of father-child relationships on children's well-being. Fathering: A Journal of Theory, Research, & Practice about Men as Fathers, 4 (3), 286-311.
- Harris, K. M., Furstenberg, F. F., & Marmer, J. K. (1998). Paternal involvement with adolescents in intact families: The influence of fathers over the life course. Demography, 35 (2), 201-216.
- Harris, K. M., & Morgan, S. P. (1991). Fathers, sons, and daughters: Differential paternal involvement in parenting. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 53, 531-544.
- Hawkins, A. J., Bradford, K. P., Palkovitz, R., Christiansen, S. L., Day, R. D., & Call, V. R. A. (2002). The inventory of father involvement: A pilot study of a new measure of father involvement. The Journal of Men's Studies, 10, 183-196.
- Hawkins, A. J., Christiansen, S. L., Sargent, K. P., & Hill, J. E. (1993). Rethinking fathers' involvement in child care: A developmental perspective. Journal of Family Issues, 14, 531-550.

- Heath, D. H. (1978). What meaning and effects does fatherhood have for the maturing of professional men? Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 24, 265-278.
- Heath, D. H. (1994). The impact of delayed fatherhood on the father-child relationship. Journal of Genetic Psychology, 155 (4), 511-530.
- Heath, D. H., & Heath, H. E. (1991). Fulfilling lives: Paths to maturity and success. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Heath, D. H., & MacKinnon, C. (1988). Factors related to the social competence of children in single-parent families. Journal of Divorce, 11, 49-66.
- Hetherington, E. M., Cox, M., & Cox, R. (1982). Effects of divorce on parents and children. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), Nontraditional families (pp. 233-288). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Hetherington, E. M., Cox, M., & Cox, R. (1985). Long-term effects of divorce and remarriage on the adjustment of children. Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry, 24, 518-530.
- Hetherington, E. M., & Martin, B. (1979). Family interaction. In H. C. Quay & J. S. Weery (Eds.), Psychopathological Disorders of Childhood (pp. 247-302). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hetherington, E. M., & Stanley-Hagan, M. M. (1997). The effects of divorce on fathers and their children. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), The role of the father in child development (3rd ed., pp.191-211). New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Hess, R. D., & Camara, K. A. (1979). Post-divorce family relationships as mediating factors in the consequences of divorce for children. Journal of Social Issues, 35, 79-96.
- Hewlett, B.S. (2000). Culture, history and sex: Anthropological contributions to conceptualizing father involvement. Marriage and Family Review, 29, 59-73.
- Hill, M., & Duncan, G. J. (1987). Parental family income and the socioeconomic attainment of children. Social Science Research, 16, 39-73.
- Hoffmann, M. (1971). Identification and conscience development. Child Development, 42, 1071-1082.
- Honzik, M. (1967). Environmental correlates of mental growth: Prediction from the family setting at 21 months. Child Development, 38, 337-364.
- Hooven, C., Gottman, J. M., & Katz, L. F. (1995). Parental meta-emotion structure predicts family and child outcomes. Cognition and Emotion, 9 (2-3), 229-264.
- Horn, W. F., & Sylvester, T. (2002). Father Facts (4th ed.). National Fatherhood Initiative. [On-line]. Available: <http://www.fatherhood.org/fatherfacts.htm>

- Howard, K. S., Lefever, J. E., Borkowski, J. G., Whitman, T. L. (2006). Fathers' influence in the lives of children with adolescent mothers. Journal of Family Psychology, 20 (3), 468-476.
- Jaffe, S. R., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A. & Taylor, A. (2003). Life with (or without) father: The benefits of living with two biological parents depend on the father's antisocial behavior. Child Development, 74 (1), 109 – 126.
- Jencks, C., Crouse, J., & Mueser, P. (1983). The Wisconsin model of status attainment: A national replication with improved measures of ability and aspiration. Sociology of Education, 56, 3-19.
- Jenkins, P. H. (1995). School delinquency and school commitment. Sociology of Education, 68, 221-239.
- Johnson, R. A., Haffman, J. P., & Gerstein, D. R. (1996). The relationship between family structure and adolescent substance abuse. National Opinion Research Center for the United States Department of Health and Human Services. Washington, DC.
- Jordan, P. A. (1990). Laboring for Relevance: Expectant and New Fatherhood. Nursing Research, 39 (1), 11-16.
- Jorm, A. F., Dear, K. B. G., Rodgers, B., & Christensen, H. (2003). Interaction between mother's and father's affection as a risk factor for anxiety and depression symptoms: Evidence for increased risk in adults who rate their father as having been more affectionate than their mother. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 38(4), 173-179.
- Kalil, A., Ziol-Guest, K. M., & Coley, R. L. (2005) Perceptions of father involvement patterns in teenage-mother families: Predictors and links to mothers' psychological adjustment. Family Relations, 54, 197-211.
- Kandel, D. B. (1990). Parenting styles, drug use, and children's adjustment in families of young adults. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 52, 183-196.
- Kandel, D. B, Rosenbaum, E., & Chen, K. (1994). Impact of maternal drug use and life experiences on preadolescent children born to teenage mothers. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 56, 325-340.
- Kaplan, H. S., Lancaster, J. B., & Anderson, K. G. (1998). Human parental investment and fertility: The life histories of men in Albuquerque. In A. Booth & A. C. Crouter (Eds.), Men in families (pp. 55-109). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Kasen, S., Cohen, P., Brook, J. S., & Hartmark, C. (1996). A multiple-risk interaction model: Effects of temperament and divorce on psychiatric disorders in children. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 24 (2), 121-150.
- Kato, K., Ishii-Kuntz, M., Makino, K., & Tsuchiya, M. (2002). The impact of paternal involvement and maternal childcare anxiety on sociability of three-year-olds: Two cohort comparisons. Japanese Journal of Developmental Psychology, 13 (1), 30-41.

- Kelly, J. B. (2000). Children's adjustment in conflicted marriage and divorce: A decade review of research. Journal of the American Academy of child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 39, 963 - 973.
- Kemppainen, L., Jokelainen, J., Isohanni, M., Jarvelin, M., & Rasanen, P. (2002). Predictors of female criminality: Findings from the northern Finland 1966 Birth Cohort. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 41 (7): 854-859.
- Kerkhoff, A. C., Cambell, R. T., & Trott, J. M. (1982). Dimensions of educational and occupational attainment in Great Britain. American Sociological Review, 47, 752-764.
- Kesner, J. E., & McKenry, P. C. (2001). Single parenthood and social competence in children of color. Families in Society, 82(2), 136-143.
- Kiker, B. F., & Condon, C. M. (1981). The influence of socioeconomic background on the earnings of young men. The Journal of Human Resources, 16, 94-105.
- King, V. (1994). Variation in the consequences of nonresident father involvement for children's well being. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 56 (4), 963-972.
- King, V. (2006). The antecedents and consequences of adolescents' relationships with stepfathers and nonresident fathers. Journal of Marriage and Family, 68, (4), 910-928.
- King, V., & Sobolewski, J. M. (2006). Nonresident fathers' contributions to adolescent well-being. Journal of Marriage and Family, 68 (3), 537-557.
- Klerman, L. V. (1991). The health status of poor children: Problems and programs. In A. C. Huston (Ed.), Children in poverty: Child development and public policy (pp. 136-157). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Knoester, C., & Eggebeen, D. J. (2006). The effects of the transition to parenthood and subsequent children on men's well-being and social participation. Journal of Family Issues, 27 (11), 1532-1560.
- Knox, V. W. (1996). The effects of child support payments on developmental outcomes for elementary school-age children. Journal of Human Resources, 31 (4) 816-840.
- Knox, V. W., & Bane, M. J. (1994). Child support and schooling. In I. Garfinkel, S. S. McLanahan, & P. K. Robins (Eds.), Child support and child well-being (pp. 285-316). Washington, DC: Urban Institute.
- Koestner, R., Franz, C., & Weinberger, J. (1990). The family origins of empathic concern: A 26 year longitudinal study. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 58, 709-717.
- Kosterman, R., & Haggerty, K. P., Spoth, R., & Redmond, C. (2004). Unique influence of mothers and fathers on their children's antisocial behavior. Journal of Marriage and Family, 66 (3), 762-778.

- Kotelchuck, M. (1976). The infant's relationship to the father: experimental evidence. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), The role of the father in child development (1st ed., pp. 329-344). New York: Wiley.
- Krampe, E. M., & Fairweather, P. D. (1993). Father presence and family formations: A theoretical Reformulation. Family Issues, 14 (4), 572-591.
- Krein, S. F., & Beller, A. H. (1988). Educational attainment of children from single-parent exposure, gender, and race. Demography, 25 (2), 221-234.
- Kurdek, L. A. (1986). Custodial mothers' perceptions of visitation and payment for child support by noncustodial fathers in families with low and high levels of preseparation interparent conflict. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 7, 307-323.
- Lamb, M. E. (1986). The fathers role: Applied perspectives. New York: John J. Wiley.
- Lamb, M. E. (1987). Introduction: The emergent American father. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), The father's role: Cross-cultural perspectives (pp. 3-25). New York: Wiley.
- Lamb, M. E. (1997). Fathers and child development: An introductory overview and guide. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), The role of the father in child development (3rd ed., pp. 1-18). New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Lamb, M. (2000). The history of research on father involvement: An overview. Marriage and Family Review, 29, 23-42.
- Lamb, M. D., Pleck, J. H., Charnov, E. L., & Levine, J. A. (1985). Paternal behavior in humans. American Zoologist, 25, 883-894.
- Lamb, M. E., Pleck, J. H., & Levine, J. A. (1987) Effects of increased paternal involvement on fathers and mothers. In C. Lewis & M. O'Brien (Eds.), Reassessing fatherhood: New observations on fathers and the modern family (pp. 109-125). London: Sage.
- Lamb, M. E., Sternberg, K. J., & Thompson, R. A. (1997). The effects of divorce and custody arrangements on children's behavior, development and adjustment. Family and Conciliations Courts Review, 35 (4), 393-404.
- Lee, C. M., & Duxbury, L. (1998). Employed parents' support from partners, employers, and friends. The Journal of Social Psychology, 138 (3), 303-322.
- Lerman, R., & Sorensen, E. (2000). Father involvement with their nonmarital children: Patterns determinants, and effects on their earnings. Marriage and Family Review, 29 (2/3), 137-158.
- Levin, H. M. (1986). Educational reform for disadvantaged students: An emerging crisis. West Haven, CT: National Education Association Professional Library.
- Levy-Schiff, R. (1994). Individual and contextual correlates of marital change across the transition to parenthood. Developmental Psychology, 30, 591-601.

- Levy-Schiff, R., & Israelaschivili, R. (1988). Antecedents of fathering: Some further exploration. Developmental Psychology, 24, 434-440.
- Lieberman, M., Doyle, A., & Markiewicz, D. (1999). Developmental patterns in security of attachment to mother and father in late childhood and early adolescence: Associations with peer relations. Child Development, 70 (1), 202-213.
- Lindahl, K. M., Clements, M., & Markman, H. (1997). Predicting marital and parent functioning in dyads and triads: A longitudinal investigation of marital processes. Journal of Family Psychology, 11, 139-151.
- Lindsey, E. W., Moffett, D., Clawson, M., & Mize, J. (1994, April). Father-child play and children's competence. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the Southwestern Society for Research in Human Development, Austin, TX.
- List, J. H., Wolfle, L. M. (2000). The effect of father's presence on postsecondary educational attainment among whites and blacks. Research in Higher Education, 41(5), 623-636.
- Lozoff, M. M. (1974). Fathers and autonomy in women. In R. B. Kundsinn (Ed.), Women and success (pp. 103-109). New York: Morrow.
- Lundy, B. L. (2002). Paternal socio-psychological factors and infant attachment: The mediating role of synchrony in father-infant interactions. Infant Behavior and Development, 25 (2), 221-236.
- Luster, T., & McAdoo, H. P. (1994). Factors related to the achievement and adjustment of young African-American children. Child Development, 65, 1080-1094.
- Macdonald, K., & Parke, R. D. (1984). Bridging the Gap: Parent-child play interaction and peer interactive competence. Child Development, 55 (4), 1265-1277.
- Mackey, W. C., & Immerman, R. S. (2004). The presence of the social father in inhibiting young men's violence. Mankind Quarterly, 44 (3-4), 339-366.
- Madden-Derdich, D. A., & Leonard, S. A. (2000). Parental role identity and fathers' involvement in coparental interaction after divorce: Fathers' perspectives. Family Relations, 49 (3), 311 – 318.
- Mandara, J., & Murray, C. B. (2006). Father's absence and African American adolescent drug use. Journal of Divorce & Remarriage, 46 (1/2) 1-12.
- Marsiglio, W. (2004). Studying fathering trajectories: In-depth interviewing and sensitizing concepts. In R. Day & M. Lamb (Eds.), Conceptualizing and measuring father involvement, p. 61-82. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Marsiglio, W., Amato, P., Day, R. D., & Lamb, M. E. (2000). Scholarship on fatherhood in the 1990s and beyond. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 62, 1173-1191.

- Marsiglio, W., & Cohan, M. (2000). Contextualizing father involvement and paternal influence: Sociological and qualitative themes. Marriage and Family Review, 29, 75-95.
- Matta, D.S., & Knudson-Martin, C. (2006). Father responsivity: Couple processes and the coconstruction of fatherhood. *Family Process, 45, 19-37.*
- Matthews, T. J., Curtin, S. C., & MacDorman, M. F. (2000). Infant mortality statistics from the 1998 period linked birth/infant death data set. National Vital Statistics Reports, 48 (12). Hyattsville, MD: national Center for Health Statistics.
- Mayer, S. E. (1997). What money can't buy: Family income and children's life chances. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McBride, B. A., & Mills, G. (1993). A comparison of mothers' and fathers' involvement with their preschool age children. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 8, 457-477.
- McBride, B. A., & Rane, T. R. (1998). Parenting alliance as a predictor of father involvement: An exploratory study. Family Relations, 47, 229-236.
- McBride, B. A., Brown, G. L., Bost, K. K., Shin, N, Vaughn, B., & Korth, B. (2005). Paternal identity, maternal gatekeeping, and father involvement. Family Relations, 54, 360-372.
- McBride, B. A., Schoppe-Sullivan, S. J., & Ho, M. (2005). The mediating role of fathers' school involvement on student achievement. Journal of Applied Development Psychology, 26 (2), 201-216.
- McClelland, D. C., Constantian, C. A., Regalado, D., & Stone, C. (1978). Making it to maturity. Psychology Today, 12, 42-46.
- McLanahan, S., & Sandefeur, G. D. (1994). Growing up with a single parent: What hurts, what helps. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McLanahan, S. (2003). The fragile families and child well-being study: Baseline national report. Table 7. Princeton, NJ: Center for Research on Child Well-being:16.
- McLanahan, S. S., Seltzer, J. A., Hanson, T. L., & Thomson, E. (1994). Child support enforcement and child well-being: Greater security or greater conflict? In I. Garfinkel, S. S. McLanahan, & P. K. Robins (Eds.), Child support and child well-being (pp. 285-316). Washington, DC: Urban Institute.
- McLoyd, V. C. (1989). Socialization and development in a changing economy: The effects of paternal job and income loss on children. American Psychologist, 44, 293-302.
- McLoyd, V. C., & Wilson, L. (1991). The strain of living poor: Parenting, social support, and child mental health. In A. C. Huston (Ed.), Children in poverty: Child development and public policy (pp. 105-135). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.



- Menning, C. L. (2002). Absent parents are more than money: The joint effect of activities and financial support on youths' educational attainment. Journal of Family Issues, 23 (5), 648-671.
- Menning, C. .L. (2006). Nonresident fathering and school failure. Journal of Family Issues, 27 (10), 1356-1382.
- Mercy, J. A., & Steelman, L. C. (1982). Familial influence on the intellectual attainment of children. American Sociological Review, 47, 532-542.
- Metzler, C. W., Noell, J., Biglan, A., & Ary, D. (1994). The social context for risky sexual behavior among adolescents. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 17, 419-346.
- Milkie, M., & Peltola, P. (1999). Playing all the roles: Gender and the work balancing act. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 61, 476-490.
- Miller, B. C., & Moore, K. A. (1990). Adolescent sexual behavior, pregnancy, and parenting: Research through the 1980's. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 52, (4), 1025-1044.
- Mischel, W. (1961). Father absence and delay of gratification. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 62, 116-124.
- Mischel, W., Shoda, Y. , & Peake, P. K. (1988). The nature of adolescent competencies predicted by preschool delay of gratification. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54, 687-696.
- Moller, K., & Stattin, H. (2001). Are close relationships in adolescence linked with partner relationship in midlife? A longitudinal, prospective study. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 25, 69-77.
- Moore, M. R., & Chase-Lansdale, P. L. (2001). Sexual intercourse and pregnancy among African American girls in high-poverty neighborhoods: the role of family and perceived community environment. Journal of Marriage and Family, 63, 1146-1157.
- Mosley, J., & Thompson, E. (1995). Fathering Behavior and Child Outcomes: The role of race and poverty. In W. Marsiglio, (Ed.), Fatherhood: Contemporary theory, research, and social policy (pp. 148-165). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1995.
- Mott, F. L., Kowaleski-Jones, L., & Mehaghan, E. G. (1997). Paternal absence and child behaviors: Does gender make a difference? Journal of Marriage and the Family, 59 (1), 103-118.
- National Center for Education Statistics. (1997). Fathers' involvement in their children's schools. Washington, DC: U. S. Government Printing Office.
- National Fatherhood Initiative. (2004). Family stucture, father closeness, & drug abuse: A report from national fatherhood initiative. Retrieved April 24, 2007, from [http://www.fatherhood.org/downloadable\\_files/DrugAbuseExecutiveSummary.pdf](http://www.fatherhood.org/downloadable_files/DrugAbuseExecutiveSummary.pdf)

- Nord, C. W., & West, J. (2001). Fathers' and mothers' involvement in their children's schools by family type and resident status. National Center for Education Statistics, (NCES 2001-032). Washington, DC: U. S. Department of Education.
- Nugent, S. (1991) . Cultural and psychological influences on the father's role in infant development. Journal of Marriage and the Family, *53*, 475-485.
- O'Connor, T., Davies, L.,Dunn, J., & Golding, J. (2000). Differential distribution of children's accidents, injuries, and illnesses across family type. Pediatrics, *106*, November, 68.
- Owen, M. T., Chase-Lansdale, P. L., & Lamb, M. E. (1982). Mothers and fathers' attitudes, maternal employment, and the security of infant-parent attachment. Unpublished manuscript.
- Ozer, E. M., Barnett, R. C., Brennan R. T., & Sperling, J. (1998). Does child care involvement increase or decrease distress among dual-earner couples? Women's Health, *4* (4), 285-311.
- Padilla, Y. C., & Reichman, N. E. (2001). Low birthweight: Do unwed fathers help? University of Texas at Austin, USA, Princeton University.
- Painter, G., & Levine, D. I. (2000). Family structure and youths' outcomes: Which correlations are causal? Journal of Human Resources, *35*(3), 524-549.
- Pasley, K., Futris, T. G., & Skinner, M. L. (2002). Effects of commitment and psychological centrality on fathering. Journal of Marriage and Family, *64* (1), 130-138.
- Paley, B., Conger, R. D., & Harold, G . T. (2000). Parents' affect, adolescent cognitive representations, and adolescent social development. Journal of Marriage and Family, *62* (3), 761-776.
- Palkovitz, R. (1997). Reconstructing "involvement": expanding conceptualizations of men's caring in contemporary families. In A. J. Hawkins & D. C. Dollahite (Eds.), Generative fathering: Beyond a deficit perspective, p. 200-216. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Palkovitz, R. (2002). Involved fathering and child development: Advancing our understanding of good fathering. In C. S. Tamis-LeMonda & N. Cabrera (Eds.), Handbook of father involvement: Multidisciplinary perspectives (pp. 119 – 140). Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Palkovitz, R., Christiansen, S., & Dunn, C. (1998). Provisional balances: fathers' perceptions of the politics and dynamics of involvement in family and career development. Michigan Family Review, *3*, 45-64.
- Palkovitz, R., & Palm, G. (2005) Transitions within fathering. A paper presented at the Theory Construction and Research methodology Workshop, National Council on Family Relations, Phoenix, AZ, November 15, 2005.
- Parcel, T. L., & Menaghan, E. G. (1994). Parents' jobs and children's lives. New York: de Gruyter.

Parke, R. D. (1996). Fatherhood. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.

Parke, R. (2000). Father involvement: A developmental psychological perspective. Marriage and Family Review, 29, 43-58.

Parke, R. D., & Anderson E. R. (1987). Fathers and their at risk infants: conceptual and empirical analyses. In P. W. Berman & F. A. Pedersen (Eds.), Men's Transition to parenthood: Longitudinal studies of early family experience (pp.197-216). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Parke, R., & Swain, D. (1975, April). Infant characteristics and behavior as elicitors of maternal and paternal responsiveness in the newborn period. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Denver, CO.

Pedersen, F. A., Anderson, B. J., & Kain, R. L. (1980). Parent-infant and husband wife interactions observed at age five months. In F. Pedersen (Ed.), The father-infant relationship: Observational studies in the family setting (pp. 71-86). New York: Praeger.

Pedersen, F. A., Rubinstein, J. L., & Yarrow, L. J. (1979). Infant development in father-absent families. Journal of Genetic Psychology, 135, 51-61.

Peterson, J. L., & Zill, N. (1986). Marital disruption, parent-child relationships, and behavior problems in children. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 48 (2), 295 – 307.

Pfiffner, L. J., McBurnett, K., & Rathouz, P. J. (2001). Father absence and familial antisocial characteristics. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 29 (5), 357-367.

Pleck, J. H. (1997). Paternal involvement: Levels, sources, and consequences. In M.E. Lamb (Ed.) The role of the father in child development (3rd ed., pp. 66-103). New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Pleck, J., & Stueve, J. (2004). A narrative approach to parental identity: The importance of parental identity "conjointness." In R. Day & M. Lamb (Eds.), Conceptualizing and measuring father involvement, p. 83-108. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Pleck, J. H., & Masciadrelli, B. P. (2004). Paternal involvement by U.S. residential fathers. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.) The role of the father in child development, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. (pp. 222-271). New York: John Wiley.

Ploch, D. R., & Hastings, D. W. (1998). Effects of parental church attendance, current family status, and religious salience on church attendance. Review of Religious Research, 39, 309-320.

Pong, S., & Ju, D. (2000) The effects of change in family structure and income on dropping out of middle and high school. Journal of Family Issues, 21(2), 147-169.

Presser, H. (1995). Job, family and gender: Determinants of non-standard work schedules among employed Americans in 1991. Demography, 32, 577-598.

- Pruett, K. D. (1987). The nurturing father. New York: Warner.
- Pruett, K. D. (1997). How men and children affect each other's development. Zero to Three, 18 (1), 3-11.
- Quinlan, R. J. (2003). Father absence, parental care, and female reproductive development. Evolution and Human Behavior, 24, 376-390.
- Radin, N. (1972). Father-child interaction and the intellectual functioning of four year old boys. Developmental Psychology, 6, 353-361.
- Radin, N. (1981). The role of the father in cognitive, academic and intellectual development. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), The role of the father in child development (3rd ed., pp. 379-428). New York: Wiley.
- Radin, N. (1982). Primary caregiving and role sharing fathers. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), Non-traditional families: Parenting and child development (pp. 173-204). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Radin, N. (1994). Primary caregiving fathers in intact families. In A. E. Gottfried & A. W. Gottfried (Eds.), Redefining families: Implications for children's development (pp. 55-97). New York: Plenum.
- Reuter, M. W., & Biller, H. B. (1973). Perceived paternal nurturance-availability and personality adjustment among college males. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 40, 339-342.
- Risch, S. C., Jodl, K. M., & Eccles, J. S. (2004). Role of the father-adolescent relationship in shaping adolescents' attitudes toward divorce. Journal of Marriage and Family, 66, 46-58.
- Robinson, J. P., & Godbey, G. (1997). Time for life: The surprising ways Americans use their time. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Rohner, R. P., & Veneziano, R. A. (2001). The importance of father love: History and contemporary evidence. Review of General Psychology, 5, (4), 382-405.
- Ross, C. E., & Broh, B. A. (2000). The role of self-esteem and the sense of personal control in the academic achievement process. Sociology of Education, 73, 270-284.
- Rowe, M. L., Cocker, D., & Pan, B. A. (2004). A comparison of fathers' and mothers' talk to toddlers in low-income families. Social Development, 13, 278-291.
- Russell, G. (1982). Shared-caregiving families: An Australian Study. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), Nontraditional families: Parenting and child development (pp. x-x). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Russell, G. (1983). The changing roles of fathers. St Lucia, Queensland: Queensland University Press.

- Rutherford, E. E., & Mussen P.H. (1968). Generosity in Nursery School Boys. Child Development, 39, 755-765.
- Schartz, S. J., & Finley, G. E. (2006). Father involvement, nurturant fathering, and young adult psychosocial functioning: Differences among adoptive, adoptive stepfather, and nonadoptive stepfamilies. Journal of Family Issues, 27 (5), 712 – 731.
- Schoppe, S. J., Mangelsdorf, S. C., & Frosch, C. A. (2001). Coparenting, family process, and family structure: Implications for preschoolers' externalizing behavior problems. Journal of Family Psychology, 15 (3), 526-545.
- Schoppe-Sullivan, S. J., McBride, B. A., & Ringo Ho, M. (2004). Unidimensional versus multidimensional perspectives on father involvement. Fathering: A Journal of Theory, Research, and Practice, 2, 147-163.
- Sears, R. R., Maccoby, E. E., & Levin, H. (1957). Patterns of childrearing. Evanston, IL: Row Peterson.
- Sedlak, A. J., & Broadhurst, D. D. (1996). The third national incidence study of child abuse and neglect: Final report. US Department of Health and Human Services. National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect. Washington DC, September.
- Seery, B. L., & Crowley, M. S. (2000). Women's emotion work in the family. Journal of Family Issues, 21 (1), 100-127.
- Seltzer, J. A. (1991). Relationships between fathers and children who live apart: Their father's role after separation. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 53 (1), 79-101.
- Seltzer, J. A. (1994). Consequences of marital dissolution for children. Annual Review of Sociology, 20, 235 - 266.
- Sewell, W. H., Hauser, R. M., & Wolf, W. C. (1980). Sex, schooling, and occupational status. American Journal of Sociology, 86, 551-583.
- Shinn, M. (1978). Father absence and children's cognitive development. Psychological Bulletin, 85, 295-324.
- Simons, R. L., Beaman, J., Conger, R. D., & Chao, W. (1993). Childhood experience, conceptions of parenting, and attitudes of spouse as determinants of parental behavior. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 55, 91-106.
- Simons, R., Whitbek, L., Beaman, J., & Conger, R. D. (1994). The impact of mothers' parenting, involvement by nonresidential fathers, and parental conflict on the adjustment of adolescent children. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 56, 356-374.

- Simons, R., Whitbek, L., Conger, R., & Melby, J. (1990). Husband and wife differences in determinants of parenting: A social learning and exchange model of parental behavior. Journal of Marriage and the Family, *52*, 375-392.
- Snarey, J. (1993). How fathers care for the next generation: A four-decade study. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sobolewski, J. M., & King, V. (2005). The importance of the coparental relationship for nonresident fathers' ties to children. Journal of Marriage and Family, *67*, 1196 – 1212.
- Speicher-Dublin, B. (1982). Relationships between parent moral judgement, child moral judgement and family interaction: A correlational study. Dissertations Abstracts International, *43*, 1600B. (University Microfilms International No. 8223231).
- Steelman, L. C., & Dolby, J. T. (1983). Family size and birth order as factors on the IQ performance of Black and White children. Sociology of Education, *56*, 101-109.
- Stewart, S. D. (2003). Nonresident parenting and adolescent adjustment. Journal of Family Issues, *24* (2), 217-244.
- Strazdins, L, Clements, M. S., Korda, R. J., Broom, D. H., & D'Souza, R. M. (2006). Unsociable work? Nonstandard work schedules, family relationships, and children's well-being. Journal of Marriage and Family, *68*, 394 – 410.
- Stolz, H. E., Barber, B. K., & Olsen, J. A. (2005). Toward disentangling fathering and mothering: An assessment of relative importance. Journal of Marriage and Family *67*, 1076-1092.
- Stolzenberg, R. M., Blair-Loy, M., & Waite, L. J. (1995). Religious participation in young adulthood: Age and family life cycle effects on church membership. American Sociological Review, *60*, 84-103.
- Strauss, R. S., & Knight, J. (1999). Influence of the home environment on the development of obesity in children. Pediatrics, *103* (6),
- Suess, G. J., Grossman, K. E., & Sroufe, L. A. (1992). Effects of infant attachment to mother and father on quality of adaption to preschool: From dyadic to individual organization of self. International Journal of Behavioral Development, *15*, 43-65.
- Teachman, J. D. (2004). The childhood living arrangements of children and the characteristics of their marriages. Journal of Family Issues, *25* (1), 86-111.
- Teitler, J. O. (2001). Father involvement, child health, and maternal health behavior. Children and Youth Services Review, *23*(4/5), 403-425.
- Thompson, S. J., Auslander, W. F., & White, N. H. (2001). Influence of family structure on health among youths with diabetes. Health and Social Work, *26*, (1).

- Townsend, Nicholas W. (2002). The Package Deal: Marriage, Work and Fatherhood in Men's Lives. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Trost, S. G., Kerr, L. M., Ward, D. S., & Pate, R. R. (2001) Physical activity and determinants of physical activity in obese and non-obese children. International Journal of Obesity, 25 (6), 822-829.
- Updegraff, K. A., McHale, S. M., Crouter, A. C., & Kupanoff, K. (2001). Parents' involvement in adolescents' peer relationships: A comparison of mothers' and fathers' roles. Journal of Marriage and Family, 63, 655 – 668.
- US Bureau of the Census (2003). Children's living arrangements and characteristics: March 2002, P200-547, Table C8. Washington, DC: GPO, 2003.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. (1988). National Center for Health Statistics. National Health Interview Survey. Hyattsville, MD.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. (1995). Public Health Service Center for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics. Report to Congress on Out-of-Wedlock Childbearing. Hyattsville, MD. (September:12).
- Veneziano, R. A. (2000). Perceived paternal and maternal acceptance and rural African American and European American youths' psychological adjustment. Journal of Marriage and Family, 62 (1), 123-132.
- Volling, B. L., & Belsky, J. (1992). The contribution of mother-child and father-child relationships to the quality of sibling interaction: A longitudinal study. Child Development, 63, 1209-1222.
- Walker, A. J., & McGraw, L. A. (2000) Who is responsible for responsible fathering? Journal of Marriage and Family, 62 (2), 563-569.
- Wallerstein, J. S., & Kelly, J. B. (1980). Surviving the breakup: How children and parents cope with divorce. New York: Basic Books.
- Wentzel, K. R., & Feldman, S. S. (1993). Parental predictors of boys' self restraint and motivation to achieve at school: A longitudinal study. Journal of Early Adolescence, 13, 183-203.
- Wertheimer, R., Croan, T., Moore, K. A., & Hair, E. C. (2003). Attending kindergarten and already behind: A statistical portrait of vulnerable young children. Child Trends Research Brief, December (20). Washington, DC: Child Trends.
- Westermeyer, J. F. (1998). Predictors and characteristics of mental health among men at midlife: A 32 year longitudinal study. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 68, 265-273.

- White, L., & Gilbreth, J. G. (2001). When children have two fathers: Effects of relationships with stepfathers and noncustodial fathers on adolescent outcomes. Journal of Marriage and Family, 63, 155-167.
- Whiteside, M. F., & Becker, B. J. (2000). Parental factors and the young child's postdivorce adjustment: A meta-analysis with implications for parenting arrangements. Journal of Family Psychology, 14 (1), 5-26.
- Wilcox, B. W. (2002). Religion, convention, and paternal involvement. Journal of Marriage and Family, 64, 780-792.
- William, M. V. (1997). Reconceptualizing Father Involvement. Unpublished master's thesis, Georgetown University, Washington, DC.
- Williams E., & Radin, N. (1999). Effects of father participation in child rearing: twenty year follow-up. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 69 (3), 328-336.
- Wolfberg, A. J., Michels, K., Shields, W., O'Campo, P., Bronner, Y., & Bienstock, J. (2004). Dads as breastfeeding advocates: Results from a randomized controlled trial of an educational intervention. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 191, September, 708-712.
- Yankelovich, D. (1974). The meaning of work. In J. Rosow (Ed.), The worker and the job (pp.19-48). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Yeung, W. J., Duncan, G. J., & Hill, M. S. (2000). Putting fathers back in the picture: Parental activities and children's adult outcomes. Marriage and Family Review, 29 (2/3), 97-113.
- Yogman, M. W. Kindlon, D., & Earls, F. (1995). Father involvement and cognitive/behavioral outcomes of preterm infants. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 34, 58-66.
- Young, M. H., Miller, B. C., Norton, M. C., & Hill, E. J. (1995). The effect of parental supportive behaviors on life satisfaction of adolescent offspring. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 57, 813-822.
- Youngblade, L. M., & Belsky, J. (1992). Parent-child antecedents of 5-year-olds close friendships: A longitudinal analysis. Developmental Psychology, 28, 700-713.
- Yuan, A. S. V., & Hamilton, H. A. (2006). Stepfather involvement and adolescent well-being. Journal of Family Issues, 27 (9), 1191-1213.
- Zick, C. D., & Allen, C. R. (1996). The impact of parents' marital status on the time adolescents spend in productive activities. Family Relations, 45, 65-71.
- Zimmerman, M. A., Salem, D. A., & Maton, K. I. (1995). Family structure and psychosocial correlates among urban African-American adolescent males. Child Development, 66, 1598-1613.



Zimmerman, M. A., Salem, D. A., & Notaro, P. C. (2000). Make room for daddy II: The positive effects of fathers' role in adolescent development. In R. D. Taylor & M. C. Wang, et al. (Eds.), *Resilience across contexts: Family, work, culture, and community* (pp. 233-253). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

